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**Project Summary**
The title of my dissertation is *Washback Effects of the Reformed CET-4 on College English Teaching and Learning in China: Students’ Perspectives*. Washback is defined as the influence of testing on teaching and learning, according to Bailey (1996). Given that there are not many empirical studies on washback, Alderson and Wall (1993) made an appeal for more studies on washback and developed 15 washback hypotheses to direct future research. An increasing number of empirical studies appeared after the call by Alderson and Wall. However, the majority of these studies focuses primarily on teacher’s perspectives and how English teaching has been influenced by high-stakes tests, (e.g., Cheng, 2005; Manjarres, 2005; Qi, 2004; Shohamy, 2001; Watanabe, 2004). However, the perspectives of the test takers, who are the most immediate stakeholders, have been largely under-researched. Equally under-researched are the washback effects of language tests at the college level because most reported research has been conducted on tests before the tertiary level (e.g., Andrews, Fullilove & Wong, 2002; Huhta, Kalaja, & Pitkanen-Huhta, 2006; Salehi, Mustapha & Yunus, n.d.; Watanabe, 1996). What is more important theoretically, according to unified validity theory (Messick, 1996), is evidence of washback that is related to the consequential aspect of construct validation enquiry.

Hence, the present study, from students’ perspectives, examines how the reformed national College English Test Band 4 (CET-4) affects English teaching and learning at the college level in China. The CET-4 is the only national test for non-English majors at the college level. It is administered twice a year: June and December. With its inception in 1987, it has drawn the largest number of test takers in the world (Jin and Yang, 2006). In 2006, for instance, more than 13 million students took the CET-4 (Zheng and Cheng, 2008). In response to “the pressing social need for college and university graduates with a strong communicative competence in English” (Jin and Yang, p. 21) and the cry to improve the washback effects of the CET-4, the Ministry of Education in China and the College English Testing Committee Commission reformed the CET-4 and implemented the reformed version nationwide in January 2007.

This study was conducted in three universities in Shanghai, the biggest city in China. Though
selected on the basis of convenience sampling, they represent three levels of prestige in the Chinese hierarchy of higher education – University A, highly reputed; University B, intermediate; and University C, ordinary. A four-phase mixed method is employed. In Phase I, a survey regarding the influence of the reformed CET-4 on students’ college English teaching and learning was administered in three different classes at each university in May 2010. In Phase II, from among the students who had indicated their interest in participating in the follow-up interview at the end of the survey, ten students from each university (eight planned to take the CET-4 in June and two in December) were selected based on gender, major, English classes registered, and English exam grades at matriculation.

One problem for Phase II is that the normal time for students at University A to take the CET-4 was December, whereas it was June for the other two and most of the universities in China. Thanks to a doctoral dissertation grant (DDG) from The International Research Foundation (TIRF) the study was then expanded to Phase III and IV. In Phase III, a slightly modified survey was administered in November 2010 to two classes who were going to take the CET-4 in December in University A. In Phase IV, four volunteers in each class were selected to participate in the follow-up interview. What is more, the six students who were interviewed in Phase II, yet were going to take the CET-4 in December at this time were contacted to complete the survey and were asked to be interviewed again. All 14 students in Phase IV were also asked to keep journals or self-recordings about their CET-4 test-taking experience. Therefore, altogether, 414 participants were surveyed (5 were surveyed twice), 34 were interviewed (6 were interviewed twice), and 7 submitted their journals or self-recordings.

There are three major research questions in this study:

1. What are college students’ beliefs about English learning; what are their expectations and experiences of studying English at college, and what are their perceptions of the reformed CET-4?
2. What plans did the students make to prepare for taking the CET-4, and how did they implement their plans?
3. To what extent has the reformed CET-4 influenced students’ perceptions of the practices of English teaching and learning at the college level?

My major findings are discussed according to each research question and beginning with Question 1. Despite the fact that almost all the college students in the study acknowledged the essential status of English in the development of the global economy, politics, cultural exchange and education, English is largely considered a means to a utilitarian end such as college graduation, employment, and/or potential assistantship/scholarship. In general, they value English, but they do not value the College English course. Moreover, surprisingly, a number of participants knew little about the modified policy and up-to-date information of the reformed CET-4 even though they were going to take the test within a month. Then, there were four major reasons why 65.5% of the students in the three universities spent only 0.5 hour after class each day on English and paid less attention to English studying at the college level. They were 1) college students’ heavy study load, 2) lack of teacher guidance, 3) the low status of the College
English course, and 4) perceived relatively low pressure caused by the reformed CET-4.

For research Question 2, almost half of the interviewees bought a CET-4 vocabulary book of some kind and/or copies of the past CET-4 test or simulated tests to prepare for the exam. Approximately 84% of the 414 participants claimed that they planned to prepare for the CET-4. The majority of the students planned to memorize the CET-4 vocabulary and take the simulated tests or tests from previous years. According to the self-recording and journal participants, their preparation focus was mainly on CET-4 vocabulary, listening and banked cloze. Overall, it is still safe to conclude that the reformed CET-4 has had much washback effect on college English teaching and learning.

For research Question 3, this study found that, in particular, three positive washback effects targeted by the reform of the CET-4 have been achieved: 1) greater use of English as the language of instruction, 2) more use of computers to assist teachers in and out of the classroom, and 3) greater emphasis on English listening skills. Besides, the data suggest that the reformed CET-4 has changed what teachers teach and what students learn in and out of English classes. Inferential statistics show that students at University C did significantly more fast reading, mock/past tests (in class and out of class), and intensive reading than students at Universities A and B. Among students who registered to take the CET-4 in June, teachers at University C mentioned the CET-4 significantly more often in class than their counterparts among the three universities. At University B, test takers who registered for the CET-4 in December did significantly more listening practice in class than their counterparts at Universities A and C. Teachers at University B, at this time mentioned the test most frequently in class, too. Teachers at University A, in contrast, gave students significantly more writing practice and less fast reading or intensive reading than their counterparts at Universities B and C. University A also gave students more demanding listening materials than the other two universities. So, in accordance with the findings by Alderson and Hamp-Lyons (1996), the reformed CET-4 has different amounts and types of washback on some teachers and learners than on other teachers and learners. What is more, this study shows that the lower the ranking of the university, the stronger the washback effects are.

Further analyses, however, indicate that the reformed CET-4 has not changed how teachers teach or how students learn. The requirement of using assigned textbooks by the Ministry of Education seems to be the major barrier. No substantial two-way interaction has taken place in English classes. A number of participants did not even know the existence of the CET-Spoken English Test (SET). Writing is, in fact, another area interviewees reported that they did not receive sufficient instruction. In other words, the reformed CET-4 did not have the desired washback effects on English teaching and writing as that claimed in the survey data. Except University A, both teachers and students at Universities B and C skipped writing instruction and exercises. Memorizing formulaic writing templates was usually how students prepared for writing tasks.

In order to improve the washback effects of the reformed CET-4 and students’ English communicative competence, the researcher proposes that measures (e.g., CET-4 workshops) should be taken to guarantee that students are acquainted with the policies of the informed CET-
4. Moreover, CET-SET should receive more publicity. It should also be integrated into the CET-4 and made accessible to all college students. For this purpose, oral English classes of different levels should be offered as a separate class in Chinese universities. For writing, considering the burden teachers may have with large classes, writing and grading assistance would be beneficial for both English teachers and students. Funding permitting, teaching assistants could be assigned to teachers to help them grade essays. Writing centers should be established to offer students extra help with their English writing skills.

Last but not least, given the uneven development of English fundamentals acquired prior to college, the variety of motivation for studying English in college, and different English proficiency levels demanded by different majors, it would be wise to offer more diverse English courses other than the uniformed, one-size-fits-all college English course. The English courses offered at University A could be a good model to follow. A more challenging version of CET-4 can be administered to students at top universities because they have teachers and students with comparatively stronger backgrounds, in addition to richer teaching and learning resources. Of course, none of the positive washback effects that were intended to achieve can take place easily if support services, such as self-access English learning labs, improved English education prior to college, and intensive teacher training programs, are not provided.

To conclude, this study reinforces that students’ perspectives should be taken into account in washback studies. Validating a test and trying to improve language education through a test’s positive washback is a long-term and on-going task. It cannot be executed successfully without a collective effort that involves of all the stakeholders.
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