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To carry out the study, we developed two online questionnaires, one for instructors of OLTE (i.e., teacher educators) and the other for students in OLTE (i.e., teacher students). The items in the questionnaire were developed based on current literature on online education and our own experiences in OLTE in several different settings and programs. The questionnaires included multiple-choice, rank-order, and short-answer questions, often with an option for write-in responses. The questionnaires sought to discover who is participating in OLTE courses/programs and why; the types of OLTE courses and programs available; the configurations of these courses/programs, including activities and technologies; participants’ preferences for OLTE, activities, and technologies; participants’ perceptions of the effectiveness of OLTE courses and the applications for the delivery of the course.

We classified OLTE into five configurations: (1) enhanced, (2) blended/hybrid, (3) flipped, (4) totally online with a synchronous component, and (5) totally online with no synchronous component. The term configuration is being used specifically to talk about how online technologies are being implemented in the design of courses.

One hundred eighty-five (185) programs/courses were contacted directly via email and invited to participate. They were asked to distribute the call for participation to their teacher educators and teacher students. In addition, the call for participation with the URL link to the questionnaires was posted on several TESOL professional websites and listservs. A total of 137 teacher educator questionnaires were returned and 309 teacher student questionnaires, for a total of 446 responses. The quantitative data were analyzed using Qualtrics, while the qualitative data were searched for themes and then coded to create categories that were related to the main constructs represented in the questions.
Findings

While there was some agreement between teacher educators and teacher students, their experiences and opinions differ considerably on many key factors.

Participants

Both native speakers (NS) and non-native speakers (NNS) of English participated in the OLTE surveys. It is important to note that the terms NS and NNS are used in this report only as useful heuristics. Participants were located in many different contexts around the world, including Asia, Australia, Europe, the Middle East, North America, and South America. Teacher educators were a considerably older cohort (the largest group was in their 50s) than their teacher students (the largest group was in their 20s). Perceptions of workload for OLTE courses varied a great deal between teacher students and teacher educators. Teacher educators indicated that the workload is much heavier in online courses than in f2f courses, while teacher students perceived the workload as being similar to f2f courses.

Reasons for Choosing OLTE

Data were collected about general reasons for choosing OLTE courses and reasons for choosing OLTE over f2f courses. Teacher students reported that they chose to study OLTE in general and to obtain credentials in English language teaching, whereas the teacher educators’ perception was that their teacher students mainly wanted to travel or took courses required by their employers. The reasons for choosing OLTE over f2f for teacher students were related to flexibility; consequently, teacher students did not welcome synchronous lectures or discussion groups. They wanted to take full advantage of the any-time, any-place affordance of online learning. In contrast, teacher educators wanted to encourage interaction and facilitation of group work. To this end, they used a variety of different technologies to promote both synchronous and asynchronous participation. The teacher educators did perceive that their teacher students took online courses for their flexibility, but they included learning activities that made their courses less flexible.

Configurations of OLTE

Both teacher educators and teacher students had the most experience with asynchronous online OLTE courses, followed by blended/hybrid for teacher students and enhanced for teacher educators. Teacher educators did, however, use a variety of synchronous applications. In terms of preferences, teacher educators ranked a totally online course with no synchronous component the lowest, whereas teacher students ranked it as their highest preference. These preferences reflected the differing beliefs that teacher educators and teacher students had about the value of OLTE: Teacher educators preferred modes and configurations that allowed for interaction, whereas teacher students preferred modes that gave them the greatest flexibility. They both ranked enhanced courses quite high, but flipped courses quite low. The participants also taught in or learned in a range of courses and programs, from short courses measured by hours to multi-year-long degree programs.

Quality

Neither teacher educators nor teacher students were particularly interested in or often aware of accreditation as a measure of quality. Indirect measures of quality indicated that neither teacher educators nor teacher students believed OLTE was easier than f2f study. Flexibility reflected quality for teacher students, whereas the availability of applications for promoting interaction suggested quality for teacher educators. Teacher educators in this study were experienced as teacher educators and considered themselves qualified to teach OLTE and support their students’ learning because most had taught and/or designed OLTE, had undertaken formal technical training, and had obtained experience as teacher educators. Teacher students reported that their teacher educators were experienced and qualified. Most were instructors, only a few being tutors or teaching assistants.

Technical Support

Teacher educators, even those with considerable experience and/or training with OLTE, did not have high levels of confidence in their technological competence. In contrast, teacher students were confident. Teacher educators did, however, provide some technical support to their
teacher students, and teacher students’ perceptions of the technical support provided by teacher educators were more positive than the perceptions that the teacher educators had of themselves. In addition, a number of OLTE programs had technical support staff persons who were available to answer questions about the technology.

**Learning Management Systems (LMSs)**

The most commonly used LMSs for teacher educators were Blackboard, followed by Moodle, Canvas, WebCT, and locally designed LMSs. Teacher students most frequently used Moodle, followed by Blackboard, WebCT, locally designed LMSs, and Canvas. Teacher educators preferred WebCT while teacher students preferred locally designed learning LMSs. However, teacher educators ranked “other” LMSs and applications as second in their overall list of preferences and teacher students ranked “other” as first in their list of preferences. Both provided extensive lists of other LMSs and programs. Although the preferences for specific features expressed by the two groups were similar (e.g., flexibility, transparency, messaging system, and synchronous applications), there were some differences. Teacher educators placed a high priority on features that promote interaction, group work, and communication. In contrast, teacher students placed a high priority on features that assist them in doing well in the courses, such as features that allowed them to track their own progress and have access to grades.

**Assessments**

Exams still figured quite prominently as a form of assessment. However, teacher educators and teacher students agreed that online quizzes that allowed for multiple attempts and provided immediate feedback, peer assessments, and practice quizzes that included answers and explanations were all useful in promoting learning.

**Implications**

These findings produced a rich understanding of the world of OLTE. For OLTE to meet its full potential of providing quality education for those who choose not to attend brick-and-mortar institutions requires institutions to rethink why they are providing OLTE and what configurations they have chosen to adopt. Institutions and teacher educators embarking on OLTE, therefore, need to consider the following:

- balance the needs and wants of their teacher students with their own pedagogical beliefs and practices;
- determine who should provide technical support for teacher students – teacher educators or technical staff – and make this decision understood by all participants;
- carefully evaluate new technologies to determine their fit-for-purpose for both teacher educators and teacher students;
- provide clear information for prospective teacher students so they can make informed decisions about what programs meet their needs and preferences, including technologies used, pedagogical approaches, and types of assessments;
- evaluate their compensation for teacher educators by examining additional workload in terms of time and in terms of role, such as technical expertise; and
- constantly evaluate the quality of their OLTE programs or courses, using tools such as accreditation or the Online Learning Consortium’s scorecard.

Potential teacher students need to carefully examine not only the availability of OLTE, but also the exact configurations used in the program or course, the qualifications and expertise of the teacher educators, the administrative and technical support provided, and the underlying curriculum design. Professional associations in TESOL should consider advocating for quality accreditation principles for OLTE. Other stakeholders, such as software companies, should also examine the findings so that their products more effectively match the needs of OLTE teacher educators and teacher students.

Additional research is needed to fill the gap in our understanding of the impact of OLTE on hiring practices and the perceptions of how well prepared OLTE graduates are for their language teaching work, and examine the compensation for OLTE teacher educators.
About TIRF

Formed in June 1998, The International Research Foundation for English Language Education (TIRF) is committed to developing knowledge about English language learning and teaching in various settings through a coherent program of research, dissemination, and networking. TIRF’s Board of Trustees, which serves on a voluntary basis, is drawn from academia, publishing, business, and government. TIRF raises funds entirely from charitable donations. To date, TIRF has awarded monies to fund 121 research projects involving 148 researchers from around the world.

Download the full version of the TIRF report

*Online Language Teacher Education: Participants’ Experiences and Perspectives*

at: www.tirfonline.org

© 2017 The International Research Foundation for English Language Education

*Provided with support from Anaheim University and National Geographic Learning*