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Project Summary

Importance of the topic

The purpose of this dissertation is to explore the feasibility of assessing alternative constructs other than Inner Circle English varieties in standardized international language tests. As English is spreading globally, new linguistic features that deviate from the Inner Circle English forms have started to emerge. Although the features characterizing the target language use domain have changed, English language tests still remain unchanged, which causes a mismatch between the forms that are tested and the forms that are required for successful communications in the real world. Tests that continue to target Inner Circle English varieties are biased against users of other English varieties, as their test scores do not reflect their true communicative competence.

Given the mismatch between what is tested and what is required for real world communications, World Englishes (WE) scholars (e.g., Elder and Davies, 2006) called for a revolution in language tests so that constructs other than native English varieties can be assessed. They argued that instead of using Inner Circle native English varieties as the standard, language tests should be normed on Outer and Expanding Circle English varieties (traditionally labeled as non-native English). On the other hand, researchers on English as a Lingua Franca (ELF) (e.g., Jenkins, 2006; Jenkins & Leung, 2013, 2017) went even further by contending that English use has transcended the boundaries amongst language varieties. English language users are constantly shuttling between different varieties of English or even between different languages. Therefore, knowledge/ability of using one single variety or language is not sufficient to meet the communicative demands in the current world. A language user needs to be proficient in multiple languages and language varieties as well as to have the ability to make the appropriate choice about which language or variety to use based on a communicative context. ELF scholars (Jenkins & Leung, 2013) propose a two-step approach to develop the assessment of ELF. The preliminary step is to not penalize emergent variants of ELF in current language tests. The next step is to overhaul the entire tests and reconstruct the entire test based on a new model of assessment that
reflects the reality of ELF communications. The purpose of this dissertation is to take the preliminary step of moving towards the assessment of ELF by exploring the possibility of training raters to accept Chinese and Indian English varieties in international language tests such as TOEFL iBT.

Research questions

This study was guided by the following three research questions:

1) To what extent does a shared L1 effect exist when Chinese and Indian raters are rating Chinese and Indian test takers’ responses to TOEFL iBT Speaking Tasks?
2) How does a special training program that raises raters’ awareness of the unique features characterizing Chinese and Indian varieties of English affect raters’ scores and their awareness of those features?
3) How do raters’ attitudes towards Outer and Expanding Circle varieties of English relate to their scores?

Methods

This study employed a mixed methods design with an experiment component to examine the above three research questions. Indian, Chinese and American raters were first asked to score Indian and Chinese test taker TOEFL iBT speaking responses. Next, they were randomly assigned into a group that used the special training package and a control group that was trained with the regular training package. In order to measure the effects of training on their scores and awareness of World Englishes features, both the special and regular training groups scored a set of speaking responses after the training. They also performed think-aloud protocols (TAP) on a subset of responses to demonstrate the decision-making process and the criteria they applied in assigning scores. Finally, all raters completed a survey questionnaire that targets their attitudes towards English varieties and their views on issues related to English as a Lingua Franca.

Thirty Indian, Chinese, and American raters (i.e., 10 raters per language group) participated in this study. Almost all of the raters were graduate students from New York University and Columbia University with background in TESOL, English education or a related field. Raters’ ages ranged from 21 to 63, with a mean of 29.29. Among the raters, 7 were male and 23 were female. Each language group has a mix of experienced and novice teachers, whose teaching experience ranged from 0 to 6 years.

Findings

To address Research Question 1 that examines whether a shared L1 advantage in scoring existed, the FACET results show that shared L1 advantage only existed with Chinese raters’ scores of Chinese test takers but not with Indian raters’ scores of Indian test takers. The interactional analyses of rater groups’ scores of test taker groups indicate that Chinese test takers’ scores were increased by 0.09 on a scale of 1-4 when rated by Chinese raters than by the combined group of American and Indian raters.

To address Research Question 2-- how does a special training package that target Outer and Expanding Circle varieties of English affect raters’ scores, the FACET results show that there was more variation in raters’ scores after they received the special training than after they received the regular training. The analyses revealed that raters who were normed on features
unique to Chinese and Indian English varieties scored more consistently internally but had larger spread in severity measures compared to those who were normed on standard American English.

The analyses of TAP data provided a possible explanation of why rater severity spread was larger for the special training group than for the regular training group: raters within a training group showed different degrees of acceptance towards features of Chinese and Indian varieties of English. In other words, raters became more aware of what features are unique to Chinese and Indian Englishes after they received the special training, but not all of them considered it as legitimate to use those features in the context of a high-stakes standardized test.

To address Research Question 3—how raters’ attitude towards Outer and Expanding Circle varieties of English relates to their scores, analyses of rater language attitude questionnaire responses show that for speech samples with higher scores, there was no variation in raters’ semantic ratings about qualities of the speakers. However, for speech samples with lower scores, raters differed from each other in their judgment about the educational level, intelligence and confidence level of the speakers.

Implications

The current study has significant theoretical, methodological, and practical implications for the field of language assessment. Theoretically, the result of the study has implications for the assessment of English as a Lingua Franca. Raters have shown some awareness about the global spread of English and its impact on language assessment. However, not all raters have had a clean break from the native speaker ideology, even after being educated about features of Outer and Expanding Circle English varieties. It is not possible to develop English as a Lingua Franca test unless test raters and test users are ready to embrace the linguistic and cultural diversity in the target language use domain and the need for that reality being reflected in the assessment practice. As for its implications for the rater training practice, the findings from this study indicate that rater training should expand its focus from educating raters about language features to incorporating a component that targets raters’ language attitude. Even after becoming aware of language features unique to an English variety, raters that are dominated by native speaker ideology may still consider those features as inappropriate for the context of a standardized test.

Methodologically, this study shows the importance of employing a mixed-method design to investigate training effects both in terms of their scores and scoring criteria. The analyses of scores showed that raters from the special training group had higher severity spread than raters from the regular training group. Such findings about rater scores can be supported by TAP data, which showed that not all raters who received the special training accepted Chinese and Indian English variety features in spite of being aware of them. The triangulation of both qualitative and quantitative data provided insights that would not be obtained, if this study only focused on quantitative analyses of rater scores.
References


