



**Title of Project:**

Bilingualism and Cognitive Control: A Comparison of Sequential and Simultaneous Bilinguals

**Researcher:**

Jin Bi

University of Utah

[qxiaoqing@gmail.com](mailto:qxiaoqing@gmail.com)



Jin Bi

**Research Supervisor:**

Dr. MaryAnn Christison

University of Utah

---

**Final Report**

**Motivation for the Research**

Previous studies on bilingualism and cognitive control suggest that mastering a new language brought about positive transfer to general cognitive processing in young bilinguals. However, late bilinguals (i.e., people who acquire a second language later in life), who make up the majority of bilinguals in United States, were underrepresented in these studies. Some studies (e.g., Luk, De Sa, & Bialystok, 2011) used a small sample size and concluded that there was no cognitive processing difference between late bilinguals (second language learners who started relatively later in life and who had not achieved advanced proficiency) and monolinguals. This dissertation study compared an early bilingual group with two late bilingual groups—one with high and low proficiency—in order to examine whether the cognitive processing advantage observed in bilinguals was associated with age of acquisition (AOA), language proficiency, or with innate phonological working memory (WM) capacity. In addition, previous studies often used interference control tasks as a measurement. This study added a response inhibition task to examine whether a positive transfer could be observed in a different type of cognitive processing task.

**Research Questions**

1. Do the three groups of bilinguals differ in WM capacity, as measured by the reading span task?
2. Are there significant differences in the three groups of students' performances on the stop-signal paradigm, which measures response inhibition?
3. After dividing students into high and low span groups based on their Reading Span score, are there significant differences in their performance on the stop-signal paradigm and the Simon task?



4. What are the relationships among the dependent variables (reaction times [RTs] and Accuracy) in the stop-signal paradigm?
5. Are there significant differences among the three groups of students in their performance on the Simon task?
6. What are the relationships among the dependent variables (the RTs and Accuracy) in the Simon task?
7. Can WM capacity predict performances in the stop-signal paradigm and the Simon task?

## **Methodology**

Data were collected from 181 college students between the ages of 18 and 35. They were divided into three groups based on their AOA, namely early bilinguals, late high proficiency bilinguals, and late low proficiency bilinguals. Data on cognitive control capacity were collected through three lab tasks that measured working memory capacity (WMC), response inhibition, and interference control, respectively. Experiments were conducted in a computer lab, and participants completed three tasks in the following order: Reading Span, Stop-signal Paradigm, and Simon task. All tasks were conducted in English. A five-minute break was given between each task, and participants were free to take longer breaks if requested. At the end of the experiment, participants were asked to complete an online questionnaire about their language background, proficiency level, and language learning experiences. The entire experiment session for each participant took between 1.5 and 2 hours.

## **Data Analysis**

In Reading Span task, participants with reading accuracy that fell below 85% were excluded from analysis. In Stop-signal paradigm, participants with overall no-signal correct response accuracy (NS-HIT) <66%, or probability of inhibiting ( $p(r|s)$ ) <13% or >85% were excluded from analyses. In Simon task, trials with RTs <200ms or >1500ms were excluded from all analyses. Data from all three tasks were analyzed with SPSS 22 for descriptive and inferential statistics (*T*-tests, ANOVA, and regression). Then structural equation modeling (SEM) was used to examine the effect of WMC by formulating three conceptual models. These models were later tested using AMOS 21 to see how well these data fit.

## **Summary of Findings**

- 1) No significant difference in WMC was found among the three language groups with varying age of acquisition (AOA) and foreign/second language (L2) proficiency.
- 2) While no significant influence of WMC on interference control was found, individuals with high WMC showed greater flexibility at balancing automatic and controlled processes to cope with task demands, and had better resistance to distracting stimuli.
- 3) Successful inhibition of prepotent responses was associated with higher WMC, later AOA, and higher language proficiency. Compared with early bilinguals, late bilinguals were able to tolerate higher levels of automatic response activation and had a cognitive processing edge in tasks requiring response inhibition.
- 4) Successful interference suppression was associated with higher WMC, earlier AOA, and



higher language proficiency. Early bilinguals exhibited a processing edge in interference control when attentional demands were high.

## **Implications**

This study adds to the existing literature related to the cognitive processing of bilinguals by including bilinguals that were not commonly studied in previous research, such as late bilinguals with diverse AOA, some as late as 19-years-old, and bilinguals who were not proficient in their L2. In addition, rather than strictly controlling the language background, the present research incorporated participants who spoke a diverse range of L2s and who self-identified as non-native speakers of English but were highly fluent or native-like in English. Therefore, a diverse sample added to the generalizability of this research.

Additionally, the present study found an early bilingual advantage in interference suppression, and a late bilingual advantage in response inhibition. Although neither was statistically significant due to the small sample size and a lack of power, this finding suggests that late bilinguals with low to intermediate proficiency should not be put in the same category as monolinguals, as some earlier studies have done (e.g., Luk et al., 2011). Furthermore, the late bilingual advantage in response inhibition seems to suggest that a relatively late AOA and high L2 proficiency may produce a cognitive processing network distinct from that of an early bilingual, although this needs to be confirmed by imaging studies. The finding that different language processing demands lead to distinct patterns of cognitive processing provides support for the adaptive control hypothesis (Green & Abutalebi, 2013). It is also likely that factors that have not been considered in previous studies, such as motivation, shape the neural network for cognitive control, as in general, it takes more effort for individuals with late AOA to achieve high L2 proficiency, compared with early bilinguals. Findings from the current study point to the possibility of long-term cognitive benefits of different types of foreign language programs (i.e., both immersion and traditional classroom learning), suggesting that people with late AOA can still benefit from traditional classroom instruction. The differences in cognitive processing patterns between early and late bilinguals would suggest that they may have relied on different learning strategies to achieve proficiency. Therefore, foreign language instructors should be aware of the fact that heritage language learners who may have been exposed to the target language from birth and traditional foreign language learners have different ways of processing languages even if their proficiency levels are similar. Although researchers have not yet looked into monolingual adults who started learning a new language at advanced ages, it is possible that learning new languages could enhance cognition regardless of age.



## References

- Abutalebi, J. (2008). Neural aspects of second language representation and language control. *Acta Psychologica, 128*(3), 466-478.
- Abutalebi, J., Cappa, S. F., & Perani, D. (2005). What can functional neuroimaging tell us about the bilingual brain? In J. Kroll & A. D. Groot (Eds.), *Handbook of Bilingualism: Psycholinguistic Approaches* (pp. 497-515). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Akhtar, N., & Menjivar, J. A. (2012). Cognitive and linguistic correlates of early exposure to more than one language. In B. B. Janette (Ed.), *Advances in Child Development and Behavior* (Vol. 42, pp. 41-78). San Diego, CA: Elsevier Science.
- Bak, T. H., Long, M. R., Vega-Mendoza, M., & Sorace, A. (2016). Novelty, challenge, and practice: The impact of intensive language learning on attentional functions. *PLoS ONE, 11*(4), e0153485.
- Balota, D. A., & Yap, M. J. (2011). Moving beyond the mean in studies of mental chronometry: the power of response time distributional analyses. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 20*(3), 160-166.
- Benavides-Varela, S., Hochmann, J.-R., Macagno, F., Nespors, M., & Mehler, J. (2012). Newborn's brain activity signals the origin of word memories. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109*(44), 17908-17913.
- Bhatia, T. K., & Ritchie, W. C. (2004). *The Handbook of Bilingualism*. Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing, Ltd.
- Bhatia, T. K., & Ritchie, W. C. (2013). *The Handbook of Bilingualism and Multilingualism* (2nd ed.). Chichester, UK: Blackwell Publishing, Ltd.
- Bialystok, E. (2003). Cognitive complexity and attentional control in the bilingual mind. *Child Development, 70*(3), 636-644.
- Bialystok, E. (2006). Effect of bilingualism and computer video game experience on the Simon task. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology/Revue canadienne de psychologie expérimentale, 60*(1), 68-79.
- Bialystok, E. (2009). Bilingualism: The good, the bad, and the indifferent. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition, 12*(1), 3-11.

- Bialystok, E. (2010). Global–local and trail-making tasks by monolingual and bilingual children: Beyond inhibition. *Developmental Psychology*, *46*(1), 93-105.
- Bialystok, E. (2011). Reshaping the mind: The benefits of bilingualism. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology/Revue canadienne de psychologie expérimentale*, *65*(4), 229.
- Bialystok, E., Craik, F., Green, D. W., & Gollan, T. H. (2009). Bilingual minds. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, *10*(3), 89-129.
- Bialystok, E., Craik, F., & Luk, G. (2008). Cognitive control and lexical access in younger and older bilinguals. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *34*(4), 859-873.
- Bialystok, E., & Craik, F. I. M. (2010). Cognitive and linguistic processing in the bilingual mind. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *19*(1), 19-23.
- Bialystok, E., Craik, F. I. M., Grady, C., Chau, W., Ishii, R., Gunji, A., & Pantev, C. (2005). Effect of bilingualism on cognitive control in the Simon task: Evidence from MEG. *NeuroImage*, *24*(1), 40-49. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.09.044>
- Bialystok, E., & Hakuta, K. (1994). *In Other Words: The Science and Psychology of Second-Language Acquisition*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Bialystok, E., & Martin, M. M. (2004). Attention and inhibition in bilingual children: Evidence from the dimensional change card sort task. *Developmental Science*, *7*(3), 325-339.
- Bialystok, E., Martin, M. M., & Viswanathan, M. (2005). Bilingualism across the lifespan: The rise and fall of inhibitory control. *International Journal of Bilingualism*, *9*(1), 103-119.
- Bialystok, E., & Senman, L. (2004). Executive processes in appearance–reality tasks: The role of inhibition of attention and symbolic representation. *Child Development*, *75*(2), 562-579.
- Birdsong, D. (1999). *Second Language Acquisition and the Critical Period Hypothesis*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Birdsong, D. (2006). Age and second language acquisition and processing: A selective overview. *Language Learning*, *56*, 9-49. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9922.2006.00353.x
- Blom, E., Küntay, A. C., Messer, M., Verhagen, J., & Leseman, P. (2014). The benefits of being bilingual: Working memory in bilingual Turkish–Dutch children. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, *128*(2014), 105-119.

- Brecht, R., & Robinson, J. (1995). On the value of formal instruction in study abroad: Student reactions in context. In B. F. Freed (Ed.), *Second Language Acquisition in a Study Abroad Context* (Vol. 9, pp. 317–334). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Benjamins.
- Bunge, S. A., Dudukovic, N. M., Thomason, M. E., Vaidya, C. J., & Gabrieli, J. D. E. (2002). Immature frontal lobe contributions to cognitive control in children: Evidence from fMRI. *Neuron*, *33*(2), 301-311. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273\(01\)00583-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(01)00583-9)
- Bunge, S. A., & Wright, S. B. (2007). Neurodevelopmental changes in working memory and cognitive control. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, *17*(2), 243-250. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2007.02.005>
- Butler, Y. G. (2013). Bilingualism/multilingualism and second-language acquisition. In T. K. Bhatia & W. C. Ritchie (Eds.), *The Handbook of Bilingualism and Multilingualism* (pp. 109-136). Chichester, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Carlson, S. M., & Meltzoff, A. N. (2008). Bilingual experience and executive functioning in young children. *Developmental Science*, *11*(2), 282-298.
- Carroll, J. B. (1967). Foreign language proficiency levels attained by language majors near graduation from college. *Foreign Language Annals*, *1*(2), 131-151.
- Center for Applied Linguistics. (2011). Directory of Foreign Language Immersion Programs in U. S. Schools. Retrieved from <http://webapp.cal.org/Immersion/>
- Chen, H. C., & Ng, M. L. (1989). Semantic facilitation and translation priming effects in Chinese-English bilinguals. *Memory & Cognition*, *17*(4), 454-462.
- Chiappe, P., Glaeser, B., & Ferko, D. (2007). Speech perception, vocabulary, and the development of reading skills in English among Korean-and English-speaking children. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *99*(1), 154.
- Chiappe, P., & Siegel, L. S. (1999). Phonological awareness and reading acquisition in English-and Punjabi-speaking Canadian children. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *91*(1), 20.
- Chomsky, N. (2007). Approaching UG from below. In U. Sauerland & H. M. Gaertner (Eds.), *Interfaces + Recursion = Language? Chomsky's Minimalism and the View from Syntax-Semantics* (pp. 1-30). Berlin, Germany: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Chow, B. W. Y., McBride-Chang, C., & Burgess, S. (2005). Phonological processing skills and early reading abilities in Hong Kong Chinese kindergarteners learning to read English as a second language. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *97*(1), 81.
- Coleman, J. A., Grotjahn, R., Klein-Braley, C., & Raatz, U. (1994). A comparative

investigation of the effectiveness of foreign language teaching in schools and universities in several European countries. *Language Testing Update*, 16, 49-55.

Collentine, J. (2004). The effects of learning contexts on morphosyntactic and lexical development. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 26(02), 227-248.  
doi:10.1017/S0272263104262040

Colzato, L. S., Bajo, M. T., van den Wildenberg, W., Paolieri, D., Nieuwenhuis, S., La Heij, W., & Hommel, B. (2008). How does bilingualism improve executive control? A comparison of active and reactive inhibition mechanisms. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 34(2), 302.

Conboy, B. T., Brooks, R., Meltzoff, A. N., & Kuhl, P. K. (2008). *Joint engagement with language tutors predicts brain and behavioral responses to second-language phonetic stimuli*. Paper presented at the ICIS, 16th, Vancouver.

Conboy, B. T., & Kuhl, P. K. (2007). *ERP mismatch negativity effects in 11-month-old infants after exposure to Spanish*. Paper presented at the SRCD Bien Meet., Boston.

Conway, A. R., Kane, M. J., Bunting, M. F., Hambrick, D. Z., Wilhelm, O., & Engle, R. W. (2005). Working memory span tasks: A methodological review and user's guide. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 12(5), 769-786.

Costa, A., Hernández, M., Costa-Faidella, J., & Sebastián-Gallés, N. (2009). On the bilingual advantage in conflict processing: Now you see it, now you don't. *Cognition*, 113(2), 135-149.

Costa, A., Hernández, M., & Sebastián-Gallés, N. (2008). Bilingualism aids conflict resolution: Evidence from the ANT task. *Cognition*, 106(1), 59-86.

Criaud, M., & Boulinguez, P. (2013). Have we been asking the right questions when assessing response inhibition in go/no-go tasks with fMRI? A meta-analysis and critical review. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 37(1), 11-23. doi:  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2012.11.003>

Cummins, J. (1976). The influence of bilingualism on cognitive growth: A synthesis of research findings and explanatory hypotheses. *Working Papers on Bilingualism*, 9, 1-43.

Cummins, J. (1979). Linguistic interdependence and the educational development of bilingual children. *Review of Educational Research*, 49(2), 222-251.

Cummins, J. (1980a). The construct of language proficiency in bilingual education. In J. E. Alatis (Ed.), *Current issues in bilingual education: Georgetown University Round Table on Languages and Linguistics (GURT) 1980* (pp. 81-103). Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press

- Cummins, J. (1980b). The cross-lingual dimensions of language proficiency: Implications for bilingual education and the optimal age issue. *TESOL Quarterly*, 14(2), 175-187.
- Cutler, A., Mehler, J., Norris, D., & Segui, J. (1992). The monolingual nature of speech segmentation by bilinguals. *Cognitive Psychology*, 24(3), 381-410.
- Díaz-Campos, M. (2004). Context of learning in the acquisition of Spanish second language phonology. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 26(02), 249-273. doi:10.1017/S0272263104262052
- Díaz-Campos, M. (2006). The effect of style in second language phonology: An analysis of segmental acquisition in study abroad and regular-classroom students. *Selected Proceedings of the 7th Conference on the Acquisition of Spanish and Portuguese as First and Second Languages*, 26-39. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Proceedings Project.
- Daneman, M., & Merikle, P. M. (1996). Working memory and language comprehension: A meta-analysis. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 3(4), 422-433.
- Davidson, D. J. (2006). Strategies for longitudinal neurophysiology: Commentary on Osterhout et al. *Language Learning*, 56, 231-234. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9922.2006.00362.x
- Davidson, D. J. (2010). Short-term grammatical plasticity in adult language learners. *Language Learning*, 60, 109-122. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9922.2010.00603.x
- de Diego-Balaguer, R., & Lopez-Barroso, D. (2010). Cognitive and neural mechanisms sustaining rule learning from speech. *Language Learning*, 60, 151-187. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9922.2010.00605.x
- De Groot, A., & Poot, R. (1997). Word translation at three levels of proficiency in a second language: The ubiquitous involvement of conceptual memory. *Language Learning*, 47(2), 215-264.
- DeKeyser, R. (1991). Foreign language development during a semester abroad. In B. F. Freed (Ed.), *Foreign Language Acquisition Research and the Classroom* (pp. 104-119). Lexington, MA: D. C. Heath.
- Dewey, D. P. (2004). A comparison of reading development by learners of Japanese in intensive domestic immersion and study abroad contexts. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 26(02), 303-327. doi:10.1017/S0272263104262076
- Di, X., Kannurpatti, S. S., Rypma, B., & Biswal, B. B. (2012). Calibrating BOLD fMRI activations with neurovascular and anatomical constraints. *Cerebral Cortex*, 23(2), 255-263. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhs001



- Dijkstra, A., & Van Heuven, W. J. B. (1998). The BIA model and bilingual word recognition. In J. Grainger & A. M. Jacobs (Eds.), *Localist Connectionist Approaches to Human Cognition* (pp. 189-225). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Dijkstra, A., & Van Heuven, W. J. B. (2002). The architecture of the bilingual word recognition system: From identification to decision. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 5(03), 175-197.
- Donnelly, S., Brooks, P. J., & Homer, B. (2015). *Examining the bilingual advantage on conflict resolution tasks: A meta-analysis*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 37th Annual Conference of the cognitive Science Society.
- Duyck, W., & Brysbaert, M. (2004). Forward and backward number translation requires conceptual mediation in both balanced and unbalanced bilinguals. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 30(5), 889-906.
- Dyson, A. P. (1988). *The effect on linguistic competence of the year spent abroad by students studying French, German and Spanish at degree level*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Language Teaching Centre.
- Ellis, N., & Sagarra, N. (2010). Learned attention effects in L2 temporal reference: The first hour and the next eight semesters. *Language Learning*, 60, 85-108. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9922.2010.00602.x
- Engle, R. W. (2002). Working memory capacity as executive attention. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 11(1), 19-23.
- Ervin, S. M., & Osgood, C. E. (1954). Second language learning and bilingualism. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 49(4), 139-146.
- Esposito, A. G., Baker-Ward, L., & Mueller, S. (2013). Interference suppression vs. response inhibition: An explanation for the absence of a bilingual advantage in preschoolers' stroop task performance. *Cognitive Development*, 28(4), 354-363. doi: 10.1016/j.cogdev.2013.09.002
- Extra, G., & Gorter, D. (2008). The constellation of languages in Europe: An inclusive approach. In G. Extra & D. Gorter (Eds.), *Multilingual Europe: Facts and Policies* (pp. 3-60). Berlin, Germany: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Fishman, J. A. (1977). The social science perspective. In Center for Applied Linguistics (Ed.), *Bilingual Education: Current Perspectives* (pp. 1-49). Arlington, VA: Center for Applied Linguistics.
- Flege, J. E., & Liu, S. (2001). The effect of experience on adults' acquisition of a second language. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 23(04), 527-552.
- Flege, J. E., Yeni-Komshian, G. H., & Liu, S. (1999). Age constraints on second-language

- acquisition. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 41(1), 78-104.
- Freed, B. F. (1995a). Language learning and study abroad. In B. F. Freed (Ed.), *Second language acquisition in a study abroad context* (pp. 3-33). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins Publishing.
- Freed, B. F. (1995b). *Second Language Acquisition in a Study Abroad Context*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins Publishing.
- Freed, B. F. (1998). An overview of issues and research in language learning in a study abroad setting. *Frontiers: The Interdisciplinary Journal of Study Abroad*, 4(2), 31-60.
- Freed, B. F., Segalowitz, N., & Dewey, D. P. (2004). Context of learning and second language fluency in French: Comparing regular classroom, study abroad, and intensive domestic immersion programs. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 26(02), 275-301. doi:10.1017/S0272263104262064
- French, R. M., & Jacquet, M. (2004). Understanding bilingual memory: Models and data. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 8(2), 87-93.
- Friederici, A. D. (2005). Neurophysiological markers of early language acquisition: From syllables to sentences. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9(10), 481-488.
- Friedman, N. P., & Miyake, A. (2004). The relations among inhibition and interference control functions: A latent-variable analysis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 133(1), 101.
- Gekoski, W. L. (1980). Language acquisition context and language organization in bilinguals. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 9(5), 429-449.
- Genesee, F., Hamers, J., Lambert, W. E., Mononen, L., Seitz, M., & Starck, R. (1978). Language processing in bilinguals. *Brain and Language*, 5(1), 1-12.
- Genesee, F., Nicoladis, E., & Paradis, J. (1995). Language differentiation in early bilingual development. *Journal of Child Language*, 22(3), 611-632.
- Gervain, J., & Mehler, J. (2010). Speech perception and language acquisition in the first year of life. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 61, 191-218.
- Gottardo, A., & Grant, A. (2008). Defining bilingualism. In A. Gottardo & A. Grant (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Language and Literacy Development* (pp. 1-7). London, ON: Canadian Language and Literacy Research Network.
- Grainger, J., & Dijkstra, T. (1992). On the representation and use of language information in bilinguals. In R. Harris (Ed.), *Cognitive Processing in Bilinguals* (Vol. 83, pp. 207-220). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier.

- Green, D. W. (1986). Control, activation, and resource: A framework and a model for the control of speech in bilinguals. *Brain and Language*, 27(2), 210-223.
- Green, D. W., & Abutalebi, J. (2013). Language control in bilinguals: The adaptive control hypothesis. *Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 25(5), 515-530.
- Grosjean, F. (1982). *Life with Two Languages: An Introduction to Bilingualism*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Grosjean, F. (1997). Processing mixed language: Issues, findings and models. In A. M. B. D. Groot & J. F. Kroll (Eds.), *Tutorials in Bilingualism: Psycholinguistic Perspectives* (pp. 225-254). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Grosjean, F. (2010). *Bilingual: Life and Reality*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Gullberg, M., Roberts, L., Dimroth, C., Veroude, K., & Indefrey, P. (2010). Adult language learning after minimal exposure to an unknown natural language. *Language Learning*, 60, 5-24. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9922.2010.00598.x
- Guntermann, G. (1992a). An analysis of interlanguage development over time: Part I, ser and estar. *Hispania*, 75, 177-187.
- Guntermann, G. (1992b). An analysis of interlanguage development over time: Part II, ser and estar. *Hispania*, 75, 1294-1303.
- Hallett, P. (1978). Primary and secondary saccades to goals defined by instructions. *Vision Research*, 18(10), 1279-1296.
- Hamers, J. F., & Blanc, M. H. A. (2000). *Bilinguality and Bilingualism* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Harris, R., & Taylor, T. (Eds.). (2005). *Landmarks in Linguistic Thought: The Western Tradition from Socrates to Saussure*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Hatzidaki, A., & Pothos, E. M. (2008). Bilingual language representation and cognitive processes in translation. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 29(1), 125-150.
- Haugen, E. (1953). *The Norwegian Language in America*. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Heck, A., Fastenrath, M., Ackermann, S., Auschra, B., Bickel, H., Coynel, D., & Papassotiropoulos, A. (2014). Converging genetic and functional brain imaging evidence links neuronal excitability to working memory, psychiatric disease, and brain activity. *Neuron*, 81(5), 1203-1213. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.01.010>



- Heij, W. L., Hooglander, A., Kerling, R., & Van Der Velden, E. (1996). Nonverbal context effects in forward and backward word translation: Evidence for concept mediation. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 35(5), 648-665.
- Heitz, R. (2014). The speed-accuracy tradeoff: History, physiology, methodology, and behavior. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 8, 150. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2014.00150
- Heitz, R., & Engle, R. W. (2007). Focusing the spotlight: Individual differences in visual attention control. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 136(2), 217.
- Hilchey, M. D., & Klein, R. M. (2011). Are there bilingual advantages on nonlinguistic interference tasks? Implications for the plasticity of executive control processes. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 18(4), 625-658.
- Hirschfeld, L. A. (2008). The bilingual brain revisited: A comment on Hagen (2008). *Evolutionary Psychology*, 6(1), 182-185.
- Hoffman-Hicks, S. D. (2002). *The longitudinal development of French foreign language pragmatic competence: Evidence from study abroad participants*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University.
- Hommel, B. (2011). The Simon effect as tool and heuristic. *Acta Psychologica*, 136(2), 189-202.
- Indefrey, P. (2006). A meta-analysis of hemodynamic studies on first and second language processing: Which suggested differences can we trust and what do they mean? *Language Learning*, 56, 279-304. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9922.2006.00365.x
- Isabelli, C. (2001). *Motivation and extended interaction in the study abroad context: Factors in the development of Spanish language accuracy and communication skills*. Austin, TX: University of Texas.
- Isabelli, C. (2004). The acquisition of the null subject parameter properties in SLA: Some effects of positive evidence in a naturalistic learning context. *Hispania*, 87, 150-162.
- Kane, M. J., & Engle, R. W. (2003). Working-memory capacity and the control of attention: The contributions of goal neglect, response competition, and task set to Stroop interference. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 132(1), 47.
- Kane, M. J., Poole, B. J., Tuholski, S. W., & Engle, R. W. (2006). Working memory capacity and the top-down control of visual search: Exploring the boundaries of "executive attention." *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 32(4), 749.
- Kapa, L. L., & Colombo, J. (2013). Attentional control in early and later bilingual children. *Cognitive Development*, 28(3), 233-246. doi:10.1016/j.cogdev.2013.01.011



- Khare, V., Verma, A., Kar, B., Srinivasan, N., & Brysbaert, M. (2013). Bilingualism and the increased attentional blink effect: Evidence that the difference between bilinguals and monolinguals generalizes to different levels of second language proficiency. *Psychological Research*, 77(6), 728-737.
- Kirsner, K., Smith, M. C., Lockhart, R., King, M., & Jain, M. (1984). The bilingual lexicon: Language-specific units in an integrated network. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 23(4), 519-539.
- Kramer, A. F., Humphrey, D. G., Larish, J. F., & Logan, G. D. (1994). Aging and inhibition: Beyond a unitary view of inhibitory processing in attention. *Psychology and Aging*, 9(4), 491.
- Kroll, J. F., & Curley, J. (1988). Lexical memory in novice bilinguals: The role of concepts in retrieving second language words. In M. Gruneberg, P. Morris, & R. Sykes (Eds.), *Practical Aspects of Memory* (Vol. 2, pp. 157-168). London, England: John Wiley & Sons.
- Kroll, J. F., & Stewart, E. (1994). Category interference in translation and picture naming: Evidence for asymmetric connections between bilingual memory representations. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 33, 149-149.
- Kroll, J. F., & Tokowicz, N. (2005). Models of bilingual representation and processing: Looking back and to the future. In J. F. Kroll & A. M. B. d. Groot (Eds.), *Handbook of Bilingualism: Psycholinguistic Approaches* (pp. 531-553). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Kuhl, P. K. (2004). Early language acquisition: Cracking the speech code. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 5(11), 831-843.
- Kuhl, P. K. (2007). Is speech learning 'gated' by the social brain? *Developmental Science*, 10(1), 110-120.
- Kuhl, P. K., Conboy, B. T., Coffey-Corina, S., Padden, D., Rivera-Gaxiola, M., & Nelson, T. (2008). Phonetic learning as a pathway to language: New data and native language magnet theory expanded (NLM-e). *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363(1493), 979-1000.
- Kuhl, P. K., Tsao, F. M., & Liu, H. M. (2003). *Foreign-language experience in infancy: Effects of short-term exposure and social interaction on phonetic learning*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA.
- Lafford, B. (2004). The effect of the context of learning on the use of communication strategies by learners of Spanish as a second language. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*,



26(02), 201-225. doi:10.1017/S0272263104262039

- Lafford, B., & Collentine, J. (2006). The effects of study abroad and classroom contexts on the acquisition of Spanish as a second language. In R. Salaberry & B. A. Lafford (Eds.), *The Art of Teaching Spanish: Second Language Acquisition from Research to Praxis* (pp. 103-126). Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Lam, K. J., & Dijkstra, T. (2010). Word repetition, masked orthographic priming, and language switching: Bilingual studies and BIA+ simulations. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 13(5), 487-503.
- Lambert, W. E. (1974). Culture and language as factors in learning and education. In F. E. Aboud & R. D. Meade (Eds.), *Cultural factors in learning and education* (pp. 91-122). Bellingham, WA: Western Washington State University.
- Lambert, W. E., Havelka, J., & Crosby, C. (1958). The influence of language-acquisition contexts on bilingualism. *The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 56(2), 239.
- Lazar, N. A. (2004). A short survey on causal inference, with implications for context of learning studies of second language acquisition. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 26(02), 329-347. doi:10.1017/S0272263104262088
- Li, P., & Farkas, I. (2002). A self-organizing connectionist model of bilingual processing. *Advances in Psychology*, 134, 59-85.
- Linck, J. A., Hoshino, N., & Kroll, J. F. (2008). Cross-language lexical processes and inhibitory control. *The Mental Lexicon*, 3(3), 349.
- Linck, J. A., Kroll, J. F., & Sunderman, G. (2009). Losing access to the native language while immersed in a second language: Evidence for the role of inhibition in second-language learning. *Psychological Science*, 20(12), 1507-1515.
- Llanes, A., & Muñoz, C. (2009). A short stay abroad: Does it make a difference? *System*, 37(3), 353-365.
- Logan, G. D. (1994). On the ability to inhibit thought and action: A user's guide to the stop signal paradigm. In D. Dagenbach & T. H. Carr (Eds.), *Inhibitory Processes in Attention, Memory, and Language* (pp. 189-239). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Logan, G. D., Schachar, R. J., & Tannock, R. (1997). Impulsivity and inhibitory control. *Psychological Science*, 8(1), 60-64.
- Logothetis, N. K. (2008). What we can do and what we cannot do with fMRI. *Nature*, 453(7197), 869-878.



- Loizou, M., & Stuart, M. (2003). Phonological awareness in monolingual and bilingual English and Greek five-year-olds. *Journal of Research in Reading, 26*(1), 3-18. doi: 10.1111/1467-9817.261002
- Long, M. H. (1981). Input, interaction, and second-language acquisition. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 379*(1), 259-278.
- Lord, G. (2009). Second-language awareness and development during study abroad: A case study. *Hispania, 92*(1), 127-141.
- Luk, G., Anderson, J. A. E., Craik, F. I. M., Grady, C., & Bialystok, E. (2010). Distinct neural correlates for two types of inhibition in bilinguals: Response inhibition versus interference suppression. *Brain and Cognition, 74*(3), 347-357.
- Luk, G., De Sa, E., & Bialystok, E. (2011). Is there a relation between onset age of bilingualism and enhancement of cognitive control? *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition, 14*(4), 588-595.
- Martin-Rhee, M. M., & Bialystok, E. (2008). The development of two types of inhibitory control in monolingual and bilingual children. *Bilingualism Language and Cognition, 11*(1), 81.
- McClelland, J. L., & Rumelhart, D. E. (1981). An interactive activation model of context effects in letter perception: Part I. An account of basic findings. *Psychological Review, 88*(5), 375.
- McLaughlin, J., Tanner, D., Pitkänen, I., Frenck-Mestre, C., Inoue, K., Valentine, G., & Osterhout, L. (2010). Brain potentials reveal discrete stages of L2 grammatical learning. *Language Learning, 60*, 123-150. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9922.2010.00604.x
- Meara, P. (1994). The year abroad and its effects. *Language Learning Journal, 10*(1), 32-38.
- Melby-Lervåg, M., Redick, T. S., & Hulme, C. (2016). Working memory training does not improve performance on measures of intelligence or other measures of “far transfer” evidence from a meta-analytic review. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 11*(4), 512-534.
- Miller, A. E. (2014). *Is everything under control? A reexamination of individual variations in cognitive control* (unpublished doctoral dissertation). Salt Lake City, UT: The University Of Utah.
- Miller, L., & Ginsberg, R. B. (1995). Folklinguistic theories of language learning. In B. F. Freed (Ed.), *Second Language Acquisition in a Study Abroad Context* (Vol. 9, pp. 293-316). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Benjamins.
- Moehle, D. (1984). A comparison of the second language speech productions of different native speakers. In H. W. Dechert, D. Moehle, & M. Raupach (Eds.), *Second Language*



- Productions* (pp. 26-49). Tübingen, Germany: Gunter Narr.
- Moehle, D., & Raupach, M. (1983). *Planen in der Fremdsprach*. Frankfurt, Germany: Peter Lang.
- Morales, J., Calvo, A., & Bialystok, E. (2013). Working memory development in monolingual and bilingual children. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 114(2), 187-202. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2012.09.002>
- Morgan-Short, K., Steinhauer, K., Sanz, C., & Ullman, M. T. (2011). Explicit and implicit second language training differentially affect the achievement of native-like brain activation patterns. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 24(4), 933-947.
- Myers-Scotton, C. (2006). *Multiple Voices: An Introduction to Bilingualism*. Malden, MA: Blackwell Pub.
- Pallier, C., Dehaene, S., Poline, J. B., LeBihan, D., Argenti, A. M., Dupoux, E., & Mehler, J. (2003). Brain imaging of language plasticity in adopted adults: Can a second language replace the first? *Cerebral Cortex*, 13(2), 155-161.
- Peal, E., & Lambert, W. E. (1962). The relation of bilingualism to intelligence. *Psychological Monographs: General and Applied*, 76(27), 1-23.
- Pelham, S. D., & Abrams, L. (2014). Cognitive advantages and disadvantages in early and late bilinguals. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 40(2), 313.
- Perani, D., Abutalebi, J., Paulesu, E., Brambati, S., Scifo, P., Cappa, S. F., & Fazio, F. (2003). The role of age of acquisition and language usage in early, high-proficient bilinguals: An fMRI study during verbal fluency. *Human Brain Mapping*, 19(3), 170-182. doi: 10.1002/hbm.10110
- Pliatsikas, C., & Luk, G. (2016). Executive control in bilinguals: A concise review on fMRI studies. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 19(4), 1-7.
- Poarch, G. J., & Bialystok, E. (2015). Bilingualism as a model for multitasking. *Developmental Review*, 35(2015), 113-124. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2014.12.003>
- Poarch, G. J., & van Hell, J. G. (2012). Executive functions and inhibitory control in multilingual children: Evidence from second-language learners, bilinguals, and trilinguals. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 113(4), 535-551.
- Poole, B. J., & Kane, M. J. (2009). Working-memory capacity predicts the executive control of visual search among distractors: The influences of sustained and selective attention. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 62(7), 1430-1454.





- Potter, M. C., So, K. F., Eckardt, B. V., & Feldman, L. B. (1984). Lexical and conceptual representation in beginning and proficient bilinguals. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 23(1), 23-38.
- Price, C. J. (2010). The anatomy of language: A review of 100 fMRI studies published in 2009. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1191(1), 62-88.
- Rast, R. (2010). The role of linguistic input in the first hours of adult language learning. *Language Learning*, 60, 64-84. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9922.2010.00601.x
- Ratiu, I., & Azuma, T. (2015). Working memory capacity: Is there a bilingual advantage? *Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 27(1), 1-11.
- Raupach, M. (1984). Formulae in second language speech production. In H. W. Dechert, D. Moehle, & M. Raupach (Eds.), *Second Language Productions* (pp. 114-137). Tübingen, Germany: Gunter Narr.
- Raupach, M. (1987). *Procedural learning in advanced learners of a foreign language*. (Linguistic Agency University of Duisburg Paper B167). Duisburg, Germany: Universität Duisburg Gesamthochschule.
- Redick, T. S., Shipstead, Z., Meier, M. E., Montroy, J. J., Hicks, K. L., Unsworth, N., Engle, R. W. (2016). Cognitive predictors of a common multitasking ability: Contributions from working memory, attention control, and fluid intelligence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 145(11), 1473.
- Rodríguez-Fornells, A., Cunillera, T., Mestres-Missé, A., & de Diego-Balaguer, R. (2009). Neurophysiological mechanisms involved in language learning in adults. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 364(1536), 3711-3735.
- Rodríguez-Pujadas, A., Sanjuán, A., Fuentes, P., Ventura-Campos, N., Barrós-Loscertales, A., & Ávila, C. (2014). Differential neural control in early bilinguals and monolinguals during response inhibition. *Brain and Language*, 132(132), 43-51. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2014.03.003>
- Rodriguez-Fornells, A., De Diego Balaguer, R., & Münte, T. F. (2006). Executive control in bilingual language processing. *Language Learning*, 56, 133-190. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9922.2006.00359.x
- Rodriguez, S. (2001). *The perception of requests in Spanish by instructed learners of Spanish in the second-and foreign-language contexts: A longitudinal study of acquisition patterns*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University.
- Scarborough, D. L., Gerard, L., & Cortese, C. (1984). Independence of lexical access in bilingual



- word recognition. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 23(1), 84-99.
- Schlegel, A. A., Rudelson, J. J., & Tse, P. U. (2012). White matter structure changes as adults learn a second language. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 24(8), 1664-1670.
- Schmid, M. S., & Dusseldorp, E. (2010). Quantitative analyses in a multivariate study of language attrition: The impact of extralinguistic factors. *Second Language Research*, 26(1), 125-160.
- Schmid, M. S., & Köpke, B. (2007). Bilingualism and attrition. In B. Köpke, M. S. Schmid, M. Keijzer, & S. Dostert (Eds.), *Language Attrition: Theoretical Perspectives* (pp. 1-7). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Schmid, M. S., & Köpke, B. (2008). L1 attrition and the mental lexicon. In A. Pavlenko (Ed.), *The Bilingual Mental Lexicon: Interdisciplinary Approaches* (pp. 209-238). Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Segalowitz, N., Freed, B., Collentine, J., Lafford, B., Lazar, N., & Díaz-Campos, M. (2004). A comparison of Spanish second language acquisition in two different learning contexts: Study abroad and the domestic classroom. *Frontiers: The Interdisciplinary Journal of Study Abroad*, 10, 1-18.
- Segalowitz, N., & Freed, B. F. (2004). Context, contact, and cognition in oral fluency acquisition: Learning Spanish in at home and study abroad contexts. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 26(02), 173-199. doi:10.1017/S0272263104262027
- Shin, H. B., & Kominski, R. A. (2010). *Language Use in the United States: 2007*. Washington, DC: Department of Commerce Economics and Statistics Administration, U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from <https://www.census.gov/prod/2010pubs/acs-12.pdf>
- Sholl, A., Sankaranarayanan, A., & Kroll, J. F. (1995). Transfer between picture naming and translation: A test of asymmetries in bilingual memory. *Psychological Science*, 6(1), 45.
- Simmonds, D. J., Pekar, J. J., & Mostofsky, S. H. (2008). Meta-analysis of go/no-go tasks demonstrating that fMRI activation associated with response inhibition is task-dependent. *Neuropsychologia*, 46(1), 224-232. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.07.015>
- Simon, J. R. (1969). Reactions toward the source of stimulation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 81(1), 174.
- Singleton, D. (2001). Age and second language acquisition. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 21, 77-89.
- Stout, D., & Chaminade, T. (2012). Stone tools, language and the brain in human evolution.



- Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 367(1585), 75-87.
- Stowe, L. A. (2006). When does the neurological basis of first and second language processing differ? Commentary on Indefrey. *Language Learning*, 56, 305-311. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9922.2006.00366.x
- Stroop, J. R. (1935). Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 18(6), 643.
- Sunderman, G., & Kroll, J. F. (2009). When study-abroad experience fails to deliver: The internal resources threshold effect. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 30(01), 79-99.
- Talbur, S., & Stewart, M. A. (1999). What's the subject of study abroad? Race, gender, and "living culture." *The Modern Language Journal*, 83(2), 163-175.
- Tao, L., Marzecová, A., Taft, M., Asanowicz, D., & Wodniecka, Z. (2011). The efficiency of attentional networks in early and late bilinguals: The role of age of acquisition. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2(123), 1-19. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00123
- Thomas, M. S. C. (1997). Distributed representations and the bilingual lexicon: One store or two. In J. Bullinaria, D. Glasspool, & G. Houghton (Eds.), *Proceedings of the fourth annual neural computation and psychology workshop* (pp. 240-253). London, England: Springer.
- Torres, J. P. (2003). *A cognitive approach to the acquisition of clitics in L2 Spanish: Insights from study abroad and classroom learners*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University.
- Unsworth, N., Heitz, R. P., Schrock, J. C., & Engle, R. W. (2005). An automated version of the operation span task. *Behavior Research Methods*, 37(3), 498-505.
- Utah State Office of Education. (2017). *2016-17 school year Utah's dual language immersion*. Retrieved from <http://www.schools.utah.gov/CURR/dualimmersion/Home/SchoolList.aspx>.
- Valdés, G., & Figueroa, R. A. (1994). *Bilingualism and Testing: A Special Case of Bias*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing.
- Van Heuven, W. J., & Dijkstra, T. (2010). Language comprehension in the bilingual brain: fMRI and ERP support for psycholinguistic models. *Brain Research Reviews*, 64(1), 104-122.
- Van Heuven, W. J., Dijkstra, T., & Grainger, J. (1998). Orthographic neighborhood effects in bilingual word recognition. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 39(3), 458-483.
- Verbruggen, F., Logan, G. D., & Stevens, M. A. (2008). STOP-IT: Windows executable software for the stop-signal paradigm. *Behavior Research Methods*, 40(2), 479-483.



- Vuillier, L., Bryce, D., Szücs, D., & Whitebread, D. (2016). The maturation of interference suppression and response inhibition: ERP analysis of a cued go/no-go task. *PLoS ONE*, *11*(11), e0165697. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165697
- Wartenburger, I., Heekeren, H. R., Abutalebi, J., Cappa, S. F., Villringer, A., & Perani, D. (2003). Early setting of grammatical processing in the bilingual brain. *Neuron*, *37*(1), 159-170.
- Weinreich, U. (1953). *Languages in Contact*. New York, NY: Linguistic Circle.
- Wilhelm, O., Hildebrandt, A. H., & Oberauer, K. (2013). What is working memory capacity, and how can we measure it? *Frontiers in Psychology*, *4*(433), 1-22.
- Wilkinson, S. (1995). *Foreign language conversation and the study abroad transition: A case study*. State College, PA: Pennsylvania State University.
- Wood, C. C., & Jennings, J. R. (1976). Speed-accuracy tradeoff functions in choice reaction time: Experimental designs and computational procedures. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *19*(1), 92-102. doi: 10.3758/bf03199392
- Xue, G., Aron, A. R., & Poldrack, R. A. (2008). Common neural substrates for inhibition of spoken and manual responses. *Cerebral Cortex*, *18*(8), 1923-1932.
- Yang, H., Hartanto, A., & Yang, S. (2016). The complex nature of bilinguals' language usage modulates task-switching outcomes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *7*(560), 1-8.
- Yang, H., & Yang, S. (2017). Are all interferences bad? Bilingual advantages in working memory are modulated by varying demands for controlled processing. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, *20*(1), 184-196. doi: 10.1017/S1366728915000632