




Title of Project:

Reframing the Language Separation Debate:
Language, Identity, and Ideology in Two-Way Immersion

Researcher:

Laura Hamman
University of Wisconsin-Madison
lhamman@wisc.edu



Laura Hamman

Research Supervisor:

Prof. Margaret Hawkins
University of Wisconsin-Madison

Final Report

Motivation for the Research

My dissertation research considered the impact of strict separation of the instructional languages in two-way immersion (TWI) classrooms, with a focus on how this practice shapes students' opportunities for learning and their emerging bilingual identities. There is ongoing debate within the field of bilingual education concerning the extent to which the two instructional languages should be kept separate in the classroom. Much of the existing research addressing the use of the L1 (home language) in TWI classrooms has focused on the relationship between classroom language practices and student language use (e.g., Fitts, 2006; Gort & Sembiante, 2015; Potowski, 2004, 2007). However, scholars have not adequately considered the perspectives of young learners in relation to this debate, despite over two decades of research showing that student valuation of classroom language practices in relation to their own identities significantly impacts learning outcomes (Hawkins, 2005; Norton, 2013; Norton-Pierce, 1995). As the demand for TWI continues to drive the rapid growth of these programs around the country (Wilson, 2011), it is vital that researchers, policymakers, and practitioners gain a better understanding of the interrelation among language practices, ideologies, and identities in order to design more effective—and equitable—bilingual learning spaces.

In my yearlong ethnographic case study of a second-grade TWI classroom that endorsed language separation, I considered how classroom language practices impacted students' ideologies about bilingualism and bilingual learning and their emerging social, academic, and linguistic identities. Findings from the study reveal that scholars on both sides of the debate need to better account for the complex interrelationship among language practices, identities, and ideologies in ways that privilege the sense-making of young learners. The research questions framing this study considered: (1) how classroom languaging practices shaped opportunities for learning and who students could become, (2) how students and their teacher made sense of bilingualism and bilingual learning, and (3) what happened when an intentional space for dynamic bilingual languaging was created in the classroom.



Research Methodology

Drawing upon a critical discourse analytic perspective that looks across speech events (Wortham & Reyes, 2015), I explored how students and their teacher were discursively making sense of bilingualism and their own (bilingual) identities within a classroom that endorsed language separation. I used Lemke's (2000) ecosocial model as a conceptual framework to look across and within processes occurring at multiple timescales, illuminating how moment-to-moment interactions lead to the formation of particular ideologies and identities and how ideologies and identities from larger spatiotemporal scales shape what occurs in moment-to-moment interactions (Wortham, 2012). Thus, while the case was 'bounded' at the classroom level, I also considered how ideologies and sociopolitical realities at multiple scales shaped how language and bilingualism were understood in the context of this research.

The study was designed in three phases. In the first phase (June-August 2016), I examined local discourses and policies around bilingualism in this school and district to develop a deeper understanding of the ideological context of the TWI classroom in which my research would be conducted. Findings from this phase have been published in the recent TIRF Routledge edited volume on language education policies (see Hamman, 2018b). In the second phase (September-December 2016), I documented everyday languaging practices in the classroom with the goal of understanding how language separation shaped students' opportunities for learning and experiences of the two-way bilingual space. During the final phase (January-June 2017), I collaborated with the teacher to design and implement a collaborative bilingual identity text project (Cummins, 2001, 2006), in which the two instructional languages were intentionally brought together to create a bilingual book. This phase considered the impact of creating a flexible language space in the TWI classroom.

Summary of Findings

There were three major findings from the dissertation:

1. *Language separation in TWI impacts students' ideological sense-making.*

One of the central findings from the study is that students in the focal classroom were developing *identities of promise* amidst *ideologies of difference*. Meaning, students were developing asset-based understandings of bilingualism and their emerging bilingual identities, but this sense-making was occurring alongside problematic binaries (e.g., English/Spanish, English-speaker/Spanish-speaker). Most students in the classroom assumed a bilingual identity: when asked "Are you bilingual?" 90% of students responded affirmatively, and when asked "Who (else) in your class is bilingual?" 75% of students responded "everyone" (Student Interviews, 5/5/17). However, students also tended to define bilingualism as separate competencies, ignoring the dynamic reality of bilingualism, and emphasized the native speaker as the ideal language user. This finding reveals that language separation not only impacts language use and learning, but also can have serious implications for how students come to understand themselves and others in TWI classrooms.

2. *A 'middle ground' perspective on the language separation debate is needed.*



Findings from the study point to the need for both ‘focused’ (separate) and ‘flexible’ (dynamic) language learning spaces in TWI. Language separation seemed to have some advantages and was, at least in part, responsible for students engaging in Spanish throughout most of the school day. Four pedagogical practices that contributed to the Spanish-dominant space were identified: managing students’ language use through rules and incentives, affirming students as capable (language) learners, scaffolding student learning to make the target language accessible, and connecting to students on a personal level. At the same time, language separation also limited students from drawing upon their full communicative repertoire (Rymes, 2010) for learning and contributed to some problematic positioning in the classroom. Thus, this study provides empirical support for a ‘middle ground’ approach to the language separation debate.

3. *Identity text pedagogies in TWI have the potential to support student learning and transform understandings of bilingualism.*

Findings from the final phase of research revealed that the collaborative bilingual identity text project promoted cross-linguistic transfer and opened up spaces for students to negotiate meaning and identity. The project also fostered a dramatic shift in how the teacher understood bilingualism and bilingual learning, from a monoglossic approach (instructional languages must be separated) to a heteroglossic approach (instructional languages can be used together to support learning) (see Table 1).

Table 1. Teacher’s Shift in Sense-making around Language Use in TWI

Pre-Project Interview	Post-Project Interview
<p>MC: [In the early grades] we are really working, like, “Use your Spanish.” “Oh, this is English time. This is Spanish time.” But when they move onto the third [grade], I think with all the testing...the teachers have just given up time. So they just let them...the teachers say, “They’re bilingual.” But they’re not using the languages equally. (interview 1/11/17)</p>	<p>MC: Before I was all for separation of languages...This is how I’ve been working and surviving...[but] why? Why are we separating? And after what I saw this past year [with the bilingual book project], it’s just like, this is how it is, this is how it’s supposed to be. You know, honoring and using, thinking, talking, writing. Because we are bilingual. (interview 6/29/17)</p>
<p>MC: If we allowed our students to, you know, use the other language when it’s supposed to be in Spanish, or in English, either way, if we allow them to break the rule, then they’re not truly immersed. (interview 1/11/17)</p>	<p>LH: What makes for a successful bilingual classroom? MC: Well, now that I had the experience [of the bilingual book project], I think honoring bilingualism in the classroom, and that we have strengths in both languages. And it’s okay to support one language with the other. (interview 6/29/17)</p>



Implications

These findings make important contributions to the field of language education and to the area of plurilingualism in educational contexts, in particular. First, this study complicates the language separation debate by demonstrating that there are both advantages and disadvantages in enforcing strict language separation; a ‘middle ground’ approach seems to be needed. Second, by demonstrating that students are active sense-makers of their language learning experiences, this study reveals the importance of engaging with student perspectives when making decisions about language allocation in the classroom. Third, this study offers one technique for fostering plurilingualism in the classroom, demonstrating how the intentional design of flexible language spaces can support student learning, while also acknowledging the importance of maintaining some ‘protected’ spaces for the minoritized language (Cenoz & Gorter, 2017) in the classroom.

There are several implications from this study for policymakers and practitioners. For policymakers, findings from this research provide evidence that language separation should not be promoted as the ‘gold standard’ of TWI programs; rather, a mixed approach that incorporates both ‘focused’ and ‘flexible’ language spaces is needed. For educators, this study demonstrates the importance of talking with young students about their bilingual learning experiences, not assuming that students are passive language learners or that language learning is a neutral activity. This study also provides evidence of a pedagogy that can foster these conversations—collaborative bilingual identity texts—which not only open up spaces for discussing the nature of bilingualism and bilingual learning but also promote cross-linguistic transfer and metalinguistic understandings. Together, policymakers and educators can reenvision the TWI classroom as a dynamic languaging environment that incorporates focused and flexible language spaces, engages with student sense-making, and promotes plurilingualism in our schools and our society.



References

- Ada, A.F. & Campoy, F.I. (2004). *Authors in the classroom*. Boston: Pearson/Allyn & Bacon.
- Adamy, J. (2016, April 1). Dual-language classes for kids grow in popularity. *The Wall Street Journal*. Retrieved from <http://www.wsj.com/articles/dual-language-classes-for-kids-grow-in-popularity-1459535318>.
- Alanís, I. (2000). A Texas two-way bilingual program: Its effects on linguistic and academic achievement. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 24(3), 225-48.
- Anderson, K. T. (2009). Applying positioning theory to the analysis of classroom interactions: Mediating micro-identities, macro-kinds, and ideologies of knowing. *Linguistics and Education*, 20, 291–310.
- Anderson, Terry & Julie Shattuck. (2012). Design-based research: A decade of progress in education research? *Educational Researcher* 41(1). 16–25.
- Andersson, T. (1971) Bilingual education: The American experience. *Modern Language Journal*, 55(7), 427-440.
- Anzaldúa, G. (1987). *Borderlands/la frontera: The new mestiza*. San Francisco, CA: Spinsters/Aunt Lute.
- Apple, M. (1990). *Ideology and curriculum*. London, UK: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Apple, M. (1982). *Education and power*. Boston: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Asher, J. (1993). *Learning another language through actions* (4th edition). Los Gatos, CA: Sky Oaks Productions.
- Auer, J. P. C. (1984). *Bilingual conversation*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Baker, C. (2001). *Foundations of bilingual education and bilingualism* (3rd ed.). Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Baker, C. & Wright, W. (2017). *Foundations of bilingual education and bilingualism*. Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Bakhtin, M. (1981). *The dialogic imagination*. Austin, TX: University of Texas Press.
- Ballinger, S., & Lyster, R. (2011). Student and teacher oral language use in a two-way Spanish/English immersion school. *Language Teaching Research*, 15(3), 289–306.
- Ballinger, S., Lyster, R., Sterzuk, A., & Genesee, F. (2017). Context-appropriate crosslinguistic pedagogy Considering the role of language status in immersion education. *Journal of Immersion and Content-Based Language Education*, 51(2017), 30–57.



- Bartlett, L. (2007). Bilingual literacies, social identification, and educational trajectories. *Linguistics and Education, 18*(3-4), 215–231.
- Bearse, C., & de Jong, E. (2008). Cultural and linguistic investment: Adolescents in a secondary two-way immersion program. *Equity & Excellence in Education, 41*(3), 325–340.
- Beeman, K., & Urow, C. (2013). *Teaching for biliteracy: Strengthening bridges between languages*. Philadelphia, PA: Caslon.
- Bhabha, H. K. (1994). *The location of culture*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Block, D. (2007). The rise of identity in SLA research, post Firth and Wagner. *Modern Language Journal, 91*, 863-876.
- Blommaert, J. (2010). *The sociolinguistics of globalization*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Bloome, D., Carter, S.P. Christian, B.M., Otto, S., & Shuart-Faris, N. (2005). *Discourse analysis and the study of classroom language and literacy events: A microethnographic perspective*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Bourdieu, P. (1991). *Language and symbolic power*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Bourdieu, P. (1977). Cultural reproduction and social reproduction. In J. Karabel & F. Halsey (Eds.), *Power and ideology in education* (pp. 487–511). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Boyle, A., August, D., Tabaku, L., Cole, S., and Simpson-Baird, A. (2015) *Dual language education programs: Current state policies and practices*. U.S. Department of Education Office of English Language Acquisition (OELA). Washington, DC: American Institutes for Research.
- Bruner, J. (1978). The role of dialogue in language acquisition. In A. Sinclair, R. Jarvella, & W. Levelt (Eds.), *The child's concept of language*. New York, NY: Springer.
- Canagarajah, A. S. (2006). Toward a writing pedagogy of shuttling between languages: Learning from multilingual writers. *College English, 68*, 589-604
- Canagarajah, S. (2011). Codemeshing in academic writing: Identifying teachable strategies of translanguaging. *Modern Language Journal, 95*(3), 401–417.
- Canagarajah, S. (2013). *Translingual practice: Global Englishes and cosmopolitan relations*. Routledge.
- Canagarajah, S. (2018). Translingual practice as spatial repertoires: Expanding the paradigm beyond structuralist orientations. *Applied Linguistics, 39*(1), 31–54.
<http://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amx041>
- Carranza, I. (1995). Multilevel analysis of two-way immersion classroom discourse. In J. E. Alatis, B. Gallenberger, M. Ronkin, & C. A. Straehle (Eds.). *Georgetown University Round Table on Languages and Linguistics*, 169-187. Washington DC: Georgetown University Press.



- Cenoz, J., & Gorter, D. (2013). Toward plurilingual approach in English language teaching: Softening the boundaries between languages. *TESOL Quarterly*, 47(3), 591–599.
- Cenoz, J., & Gorter, D. (2017). Minority languages and sustainable translanguaging: Threat or opportunity? *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 0(0), 1–12.
- Cervantes-Soon, C. G. (2014). A critical look at dual language immersion in the new Latin@ diaspora. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 37(1), 64-82.
- Cervantes-Soon, C. G., Dorner, L., Palmer, D., Heiman, D., Schwerdtfeger, R., & Choi, J. (2017). Combating inequalities in two-way language immersion programs: Toward critical consciousness in bilingual education spaces. *Review of Research in Education*, 41(March), 403–427. <http://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X17690120>
- Chomsky, N. (1965). *Aspects of the theory of syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Christian, D. (2016). Dual language education: Current research perspectives. *International Multilingual Research Journal*, 10(1), 1–5.
- Cicourel, A. (2007). A personal, retrospective view of ecological validity. *Text & Talk*, 27, 735–752.
- Collins, P. H. (1991). *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness, and the politics of empowerment*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Collins P. H. (2000). Gender, black feminism, and black political economy. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. 568: 41-53.
- Compton-Lilly, C., Papoi, K., Venegas, P., Hamman, L., & Schwabenbauer, B. (2017). Intersectional identity negotiation: The case of young immigrant children. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 49(1), 115–140. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1086296X16683421>
- Cook, V. & Li, W. (Eds). (2016). *The Cambridge handbook of linguistic multi-competence*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Cope, B., & Kalantzis, M. (2009). “Multiliteracies”: New literacies, new learning. *Pedagogies: An International Journal*, 4(3), 164-195.
- Crawford, J. (2004). *Educating English learners: Language diversity in the classroom* (5th ed.). Los Angeles, CA: Bilingual Educational Services.
- Creese, A., & Blackledge, A. (2010). Translanguaging in the bilingual classroom: A pedagogy for learning and teaching? *The Modern Language Journal*, 94(1), 103–115.
- Creswell’s (2013) *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. Los Angeles, CA: SAGE



- Cummins, J. (1991). Interdependence of first- and second-language proficiency in bilingual children. In E. Bialystok (Ed.) *Language processing in bilingual children* (pp. 70–89). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Cummins, J. (2000). *Language, power and pedagogy*. Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Cummins, J. (2006). Identity texts: The imaginative construction of self through multiliteracies pedagogy. In O. García, T. Skutnabb-Kangas, & E. Torres-Guzmán, M. (Eds.), *Imagining multilingual schools: Language in education and globalization* (pp. 51-68). Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Cummins, J. (2007). Rethinking monolingual instructional strategies in multilingual classrooms. *Canadian Journal of Applied Linguistics/Revue Canadienne de Linguistique Appliquée*, 10(2), 221–240.
- Cummins, J. (2014). Rethinking pedagogical assumptions in Canadian French immersion programs. *Journal of Immersion and Content-Based Language Education*, 2(1), 3–22.
- Cummins, J., Hu, S., Markus, P., & Kristiina Montero, M. (2015). Identity texts and academic achievement: Connecting the dots in multilingual school contexts. *TESOL Quarterly*, 49(3), 555–581.
- Cummins, J., & Early, M. (Eds.) (2011), *Identity texts: The collaborative creation of power in multilingual schools*. Stoke-on-Trent, UK: Trentham Books.
- de Jong, E. (2011) *Foundations for multilingualism in education: From principles to practice*. Philadelphia, PA: Caslon.
- de Jong, E. J. (2016). Two-way immersion for the next generation: Models, policies, and principles. *International Multilingual Research Journal*, 10(1), 1931–3152.
- de Jong, E., & Bearse, C. I. (2011). The same outcomes for all? High-school students reflect on their two-way immersion program experiences. *Immersion Education: Practices, Policies, Possibilities* (December 2009), 104–122.
- de Jong, E. J., & Bearse, C. I. (2014). Dual language programs as a strand within a secondary school: dilemmas of school organization and the TWI mission. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 17(1), 15–31.
- de Jong, E. & Howard, E. (2009). Integration in two-way immersion education: Equalising linguistic benefits for all students. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 12(1), 81–99.
- Darvin, R., & Norton, B. (2015). Identity and a model of investment in applied linguistics. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 35, 36–56.
- Davies, B., & Harré, R. (1990). Positioning: The discursive production of selves. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 20(1), 43-63.



- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2005). Introduction: The discipline and practice of qualitative research. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research* (3rd ed., pp. 1-20). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Dorner, L. M. (2011). Contested communities in a debate over dual-language education: The import of “public” values on public policies. *Educational Policy*, 25(4), 577-613.
- Douglas Fir Group. (2016). A transdisciplinary framework for SLA in a multilingual world. *The Modern Language Journal* 100, 19–47.
- Duchêne, A., & Heller, M. (Eds.). (2012). *Language in late capitalism: Pride and profit*. New York, NY: Routledge/Taylor & Francis.
- Erickson, F. (1992). Ethnographic microanalysis of interaction. In M. LeCompte, W. Millroy, & J. Preissle (Eds.), *The handbook of qualitative research in education* (pp. 201–225). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Erickson, F. (2004). *Talk and social theory: Ecologies of speaking and listening in everyday life*. Cambridge, UK: Polity.
- Escamilla, K., Butvilofsky, S., Sparrow, W., Soltero-Gonzalez, L., Ruiz-Figueroa, O., & Escamilla, M. (2014). *Biliteracy from the start: Literacy squared in action*. Philadelphia, PA: Caslon.
- Fairclough, N. (1992). *Language and power*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Feinauer, E., & Howard, E. R. (2014). Attending to the third goal: Cross-cultural competence and identity development in two-way immersion programs. *Journal of Immersion and Content-Based Language Education*, 2(2), 257–272.
- Fitts, S. (2006). Reconstructing the status quo: Linguistic interaction in a dual-language school. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 30(2), 337–365.
- Flores, N. (2013). Silencing the subaltern: Nation-state/colonial governmentality and bilingual education in the United States. *Critical Inquiry in Language Studies*, 10(December).
<http://doi.org/10.1080/15427587.2013.846210>
- Flores, N. (2016). A tale of two visions: Hegemonic whiteness and bilingual education. *Educational Policy*, 30, 13–38.
- Flores, N., & Rosa, J. (2015). Undoing appropriateness: Raciolinguistic ideologies and language diversity in education. *Harvard Educational Review*, 85(2), 149–172. <http://doi.org/10.17763/0017-8055.85.2.149>
- Flores, N., & García, O. (2013). Linguistic third spaces in education: Teachers’ translanguaging across the bilingual continuum. In D. Little, C. Leung, & P. Van Avermaet (Eds.), *Managing diversity in education: Languages, policies, pedagogies* (pp.243-256). Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters.



- Flores, N., & García, O. (2017). A critical review of bilingual education in the United States: From basements and pride to boutiques and profit. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 37, 14–29.
- Fortune, T. (2001). *Understanding immersion students' oral language use as a mediator of social interaction in the classroom*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. University of Minnesota, Minneapolis.
- Foucault, M. (1980) *Power/knowledge: Selected interviews and other writings*, New York, NY: Pantheon.
- Fraga, L. (2016). The promise and potential of two-way immersion in Catholic schools. *Journal of Catholic Education*, 19(2).
- Freeman, R. D. (1996). Dual-immersion planning at Oyster Bilingual School: It's much more than language. *TESOL Quarterly*, 30, 557–582.
- Freeman, R. D. (1998). *Bilingual education and social change*. Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Freeman, Y. S., Freeman, D. E., & Mercuri, S. P. (2005). *Dual language essentials for teachers and administrators*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed* (M. B. Ramos, Trans.). New York, NY: Continuum.
- Freire, J. A., Valdéz, V. E., & Delavan, M. G. (2016). The (dis)inclusion of Latina/o interests from Utah's dual language education boom. *Journal of Latinos and Education*, 8431(November), 1–14.
- Freire, J. A., & Valdéz, V. E. (2017). Dual language teachers' stated barriers to implementation of culturally relevant pedagogy. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 40(1), 55–69.
<http://doi.org/10.1080/15235882.2016.1272504>
- French, J. & Whatley, B. (2009) *¡Demasiadas peras! Too Many Pears!* New York, NY: Star Bright Books.
- Gal, S. (2012). Sociolinguistic regimes and the management of 'diversity'. In M. Heller & A. Duchêne (Eds.), *Language in late capitalism: Pride and profit* (pp. 22–37). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Gallacher, L. A., & Gallagher, M. (2008). Methodological immaturity in childhood research?: Thinking through 'participatory methods'. *Childhood*, 15(4), 499–516.
- García, O. (2009). *Bilingual education in the 21st century: A global perspective*. Malden, MA: John Wiley & Sons.
- García, O. (2013). Theorizing and enacting translanguaging for social justice. In A. Blackledge & A. Creese (Eds.), *Heteroglossia as practice and pedagogy* (pp. 199–216). New York, NY: Springer.
- García, O., Bartlett, L., & Kleifgen, J. (2007). From biliteracy to pluriliteracies. In P. Auer & L. Wei (Eds.), *Handbook of multilingualism and multilingual communication* (pp. 207-228). Berlin, Germany: Walter de Gruyter.



- García, O., Flores, N., & Woodley, H. H. (2015) Constructing in-between spaces to 'do' bilingualism: A tale of two high schools in one city. In J. Cenoz & D. Gorter (Eds.) *Multilingualism in education: New directions* (pp. 199-224). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- García, O., Johnson, S.I. & Seltzer, K. (2017). *The translanguaging classroom: Leveraging student bilingualism for learning*. Philadelphia, PA: Caslon.
- García, O., & Kleifgen, J.A. (2010) *Educating emergent bilinguals: Policies, programs, and practices for English language learners*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- García, O. & Kleyn, T. (Eds.). (2016). *Translanguaging with multilingual students: Learning from classroom moments*. New York, NY: Routledge
- García, O., & Leiva, C. (2014). Theorizing and enacting translanguaging for social justice. In A. Blackledge & A. Creese (Eds.), *Heteroglossia as practice and pedagogy* (pp. 199–218). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer Science+Business Media.
- García, O. & Li, W. (2014). *Translanguaging: Language, bilingualism and education*. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
- García, O., Makar, C., Starcevic, M., & Terry, A. (2011). The translanguaging of Latino kindergarteners. In K. Potowski, & J. Rothman (Eds.), *Bilingual youth: Spanish in English-speaking societies* (pp. 33–55). New York, NY: John Benjamins.
- García, O. & Otheguy, R. 1988. The language situation of Cuban Americans. In S. McKay & S. Wong (Eds.), *Language diversity: Problem or resource?* (pp. 166–192). San Francisco CA: Harper and Row.
- García-Mateus, S. and Palmer, D. (2017) Translanguaging pedagogies for positive identities in two-way dual language bilingual education, *Journal of Language, Identity & Education*, 16(4): 245-255.
- Gee, J. P. (2014a). *An introduction to discourse analysis: Theory and method* (4th ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Gee, J. P. (2014b) *How to do discourse analysis: A toolkit* (2nd ed.). London, UK: Routledge.
- Geertz, C. (1973). *The interpretation of cultures*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Genesee, F. (2001). Bilingual first language acquisition: Exploring the limits of the language faculty. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 21, 153–168.
- Gibbons, P. (2015). *Scaffolding language, scaffolding learning*. (2nd ed.) Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Giménez, M. (2014). *The implementation and outcomes of one Georgia two-way immersion program*. Ph.D. Dissertation. Kennesaw State University. Retrieved from <http://digitalcommons.kennesaw.edu/etd/621/>



- Goffman, E. (1981). *Forms of talk*. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Goldenberg, C. (Summer 2013). Unlocking the research on English learners: What we know—and don't yet know—about effective instruction. *American Educator* 37(2), 4-11.
- Gómez, L., Freeman, D. & Freeman, Y. (2005) Dual language education: A promising 50-50 model. *Bilingual Research Journal* (29)1, 145–164.
- Gort, M., & Sembiante, S. F. (2015). Navigating hybridized language learning spaces through translanguaging pedagogy: Dual language preschool teachers' languaging practices in support of emergent bilingual children's performance of academic discourse. *International Multilingual Research Journal*, 9(1), 7-25.
- Gracile, Y. (2014, July 9). LA Unified to add more dual language immersion programs. *LA School Report*. Retrieved from [http:// laschoolreport.com/la-unified-adds-more-dual-language-immersion-programs-laUSD/](http://laschoolreport.com/la-unified-adds-more-dual-language-immersion-programs-laUSD/)
- Gramsci, A. (1971). *Selections from the prison notebooks*. New York, NY: International Press.
- Graue, M.E., & Walsh, D.J. (1998). *Studying children in context. Theories, methods & ethics*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Gutiérrez, K. D. (2008). Developing a sociocritical literacy in the third space. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 43(2), 148–164.
- Gutiérrez, K. D., Baquedano-Lopez, P., & Tejeda, C. (1999). Rethinking diversity: Hybridity and hybrid language practices in the third space. *Mind, Culture, and Activity*, 6(4), 286–303.
- Gutiérrez, K., Rymes, B., & Larson, K. (1995). Script, counterscript, and underlife in the classroom: James Brown versus Brown v. Board of Education. *Harvard Educational Review*, 65, 445-471.
- Guzula, X., McKinney, C., & Tyler, R. (2016). Languaging-for-learning: Legitimising translanguaging and enabling multimodal practices in third spaces. *Southern African Linguistics and Applied Language Studies*, 34(3), 211–226.
- Halliday, M.A.K. (1993) Toward a language-based theory of learning. *Linguistics and Education*, 5, 93-116.
- Hamman, L. (2018a). Translanguaging and positioning in two-way dual language classrooms: A case for criticality. *Language and Education*, 32(1), 21–42.
- Hamman, L. (2018b). Bilingualism for all?: Interrogating language and equity in dual language education in Wisconsin. In J. A. Crandall & K. M. Bailey (Eds.), *Global perspectives on educational language policies* (pp. 141-152). New York, NY: Routledge.



- Handsfield, L. J., & Crumpler, T. P. (2013). "Dude, it's not a appropriate word": Negotiating word meanings, language ideologies, and identities in a literature discussion group. *Linguistics and Education, 24*(2), 112–130.
- Hawkins, M. R. (2004). Researching English language and literacy development in schools. *Educational Researcher 33*(3), 14–25.
- Hawkins, M. R. (2005). Becoming a student: Identity work and academic literacies in early schooling. *TESOL Quarterly, 39*(1), 59–82.
- Hawkins, M. R. (2018). Transmodalities and transnational encounters: Fostering critical cosmopolitan relations. *Applied Linguistics, 39*(1), 55–77. <http://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amx048>
- Hawkins, M. R., & Mori, J. (2018). Considering "trans-" perspectives in language theories and practices. *Applied Linguistics, 39*(1), 1–8. <http://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amx056>
- Heath, S.B., & Street, B.V. (2008). *On ethnography: Approaches to language and literacy research*. New York, NY: Teachers College.
- Henderson, K. I., & Palmer, D. K. (2015). Teacher and student language practices and ideologies in a third-grade two-way dual language program implementation. *International Multilingual Research Journal, 9*, 75–92.
- Heller, M. (1999). *Linguistic minorities and modernity: A sociolinguistic ethnography*. London, UK: Longman.
- Heller, M. & Martin-Jones, M. (2001). *Voices of authority: Education and linguistic difference*. Westport, CT: Ablex.
- Helot, C., & Young, A. (2002). Bilingualism and language education in French primary schools: Why and how should migrant languages be valued? *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism, 5*(2), 96–112.
- Hickey, T. (2007). Children's language networks and teachers' input in minority language immersion: What goes in may not come out. *Language and Education, 21*(1), 46–65.
- Holland, D., & Leander, K. (2004). Ethnographic studies of positioning and subjectivity: An introduction. *Ethos, 32*(2), 127-139.
- Hornberger, N. H., & Link, H. (2012). Translanguaging and transnational literacies in multilingual classrooms: a biliteracy lens. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism, 15*(3), 261–278. <http://doi.org/10.1080/13670050.2012.658016>
- Howard, E. R., Sugarman, J., & Christian, D. (2003). Trends in two-way immersion education: A review of the research. Report 63. Baltimore: Center for Research on the Education of Students Placed At Risk (CRESPAR).



- Howard, E. R., Sugarman, J., Perdomo, M., & Adger, C. T. (2005). Two-way immersion education: The basics. Washington, DC: Center for Applied Linguistics. Retrieved from http://www.cal.org/twi/toolkit/PI/Basics_Eng.pdf
- Howard, E. R., & Sugarman, J. (2007). *Realizing the vision of two-way immersion: Fostering effective programs and classrooms*. Washington, DC: Center for Applied Linguistics.
- Howard, E. R., Lindholm-Leary, K., Rogers, D., Olague, N., Medina, J., Kennedy, B., Sugarman, J., & Christian, D. (2018). *Guiding principles for dual language education* (3rd Edition). Washington, DC: Center for Applied Linguistics.
- Howe, J. & Walrod, A. (1999) *Horacio y Morris, pero más que nadie Dolores*. New York, NY: Atheneum Books.
- Hymes, D. (1968). The ethnography of speaking. In J. Fishman (Ed.), *Readings in the sociology of language* (pp. 99-138). The Hague: Mouton.
- Gumperz, J. (1982). *Discourse strategies*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Irvine, J. (1989) When talk isn't cheap: Language and political economy. *American Ethnologist*, 16: 248-67.
- James, A., & Prout, A. (1997). A new paradigm for the sociology of childhood? Promise and problems. In A. James & A. Prout (Eds.) *Constructing and reconstructing childhood* (pp. 7-32). London, UK: Routledge.
- Jaumont, F. (2017) *The bilingual revolution: The future of education is in two languages*. Brooklyn, NY: TBR Books.
- Jefferson, G. (2004). Glossary of transcript symbols with an introduction. In G.H. Lerner (Ed.) *Conversation analysis: Studies from the first generation*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins, pp. 13–31.
- Jørgensen, J. N. (2008). Introduction: Polylingual languaging around and among children and adolescents. *International Journal of Multilingualism*, 5(3), 161–177.
- Jørgensen, J. N. (2003). Languaging among fifth graders: Code-switching in conversation 501 of the Køge Project. In J. Normann Jørgensen (Ed.), *Bilingualism and social change: Turkish speakers in north western Europe* (pp. 126–148). Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Kibler, A. (2010). Writing through two languages: First language expertise in a language minority classroom. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 19(3), 121–142.
- Kramsch, C. (2018). Trans-spatial utopias. *Applied Linguistics*, 39(1), 108–115. <http://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amx057>



- Kroskrity, P. V. (2004). Language ideologies. In A. Duranti (Ed.), *A companion to linguistic anthropology* (pp. 496-517). Oxford, UK: Blackwell.
- Lambert, W., & Tucker, R. (1972). *Bilingual education of children: The St. Lambert experiment*. Rowley, MA: Newbury House Publishers.
- Lave, J. (1996). Teaching, as learning, in practice. *Mind, Culture, and Activity*, 3(3), 149–163.
- Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- LeCompte, M. D., & Schensul, J. J. (2010). *Designing and conducting ethnographic research* (Vol. 1). Rowman Altamira.
- Lee, J. S., Hill-Bonnet, L., & Gillispie, J. (2008). Learning in two languages: Interactional spaces for becoming bilingual speakers. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 11(1), 75–94.
- Lemke, J. L. (2000). Across the scales of time: Artifacts, activities, and meanings in ecosocial systems. *Mind, Culture, and Activity*, 7(4), 273–290.
- Leonard, M. (2005). Children, childhood and social capital: Exploring the links. *Sociology*, 39(4), 605–622.
- Leung, C., & Hawkins, M. R. (2011) Video recording and the research process. *TESOL Quarterly*, 45(2), 344-354.
- Li, W. 2018. Translanguaging as a practical theory of language. *Applied Linguistics*, 39(1), 9–30.
- Li, W. (2011) Moment analysis and translanguaging space: Discursive construction of identities by multilingual Chinese youth in Britain. *Journal of Pragmatics* 43 (5), 1222-1235.
- Lincoln, Y. & Guba, E. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications.
- Lindholm-Leary, K. J. (2001). *Dual language education*. Avon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Lindholm-Leary, K. J. (2003). Dual language achievement, proficiency, and attitudes among current high school graduates of two-way programs. *NABE Journal*, 26,20–25.
- Lindholm-Leary, K. (2011). Student outcomes in Chinese two-way immersion programs: Language proficiency, academic achievement, and student attitudes. In D. J. Tedick, D. Christian, & T. W. Fortune (Eds.), *Immersion education: Practices, policies, possibilities* (pp. 81–103). Avon, England: Multilingual Matters.
- Lindholm-Leary, K. (2016). Students' perceptions of bilingualism in Spanish and Mandarin dual language programs. *International Multilingual Research Journal*, 10(1), 1931–3152.
- Lindholm-Leary, K., & Block, N. (2010). Achievement in predominately low SES/Hispanic dual language schools. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 13(1), 43–60.



- Lindholm-Leary, K., & Genesee, F. (2014). Student outcomes in one-way and two-way immersion and indigenous language education. *Journal of Immersion and Content-Based Language Education*, 2(2), 165–180.
- Lindholm-Leary, K. J., & Hernandez, A. (2011). Achievement and language proficiency of Latino students in dual language programmes: Native English speakers, fluent English/previous ELLs, and current ELLs. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 32(6), 531–545.
- Lindholm-Leary, K. J., & Howard, E. (2008). Language and academic achievement in two-way immersion programs. In T. W. Fortune & D. J. Tedick (Eds.), *Pathways to bilingualism: Evolving perspectives on immersion education* (pp. 177–200). Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Lippi-Green, R. (1997). *English with an accent: Language, ideology and discrimination in the United States*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Lyster, R., & Sato, M. (2013). Skill acquisition theory and the role of practice in L2 development. In P. García Mayo, M. Gutierrez-Mangado, & M. Martínez Adrián (Eds.), *Contemporary approaches to second language acquisition* (pp. 71–92). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- MacSwan, J. (2017). A multilingual perspective on translanguaging. *American Educational Research Journal*, 54(1), 167–201. <http://doi.org/10.3102/0002831216683935>
- Makoni, S., & Pennycook, A. (2007). *Disinventing and reconstituting languages*. Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Martin-Beltrán, M. (2010). The two-way language bridge: Bilingual language learning opportunities. *Modern Language Journal*, 94(2), 254–277.
- Martínez-Roldán, C. M., & Sayer, P. (2006). Reading through linguistic borderlands: Latino students' transactions with narrative texts. *Journal of Early Childhood Literacy*, 6(3), 293–322.
- Martínez, R. A., Hikida, M., & Durán, L. (2015). Unpacking ideologies of linguistic purism: How dual language teachers make sense of everyday translanguaging. *International Multilingual Research Journal*, 9(1), 26–42.
- Menken, K. & García, O. (Eds.). (2010). *Negotiating language policies in schools: Educators as policymakers*. New York, NY: Routledge
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (2014). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Morren López, M. (2012). Children's language ideologies in a first-grade dual-language class. *Journal of Early Childhood Literacy*, 12(2), 176–201. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1468798411417077>
- New London Group (1996). A pedagogy of multiliteracies: Designing social futures. *Harvard Educational Review*, 66, 60–92.



- Norton Pierce, B. (1995). Social identity, investment, and language learning. *TESOL Quarterly*, 29(1), 9–31.
- Norton, B. (2013). *Identity and language learning: Extending the conversation*. Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Norton, B., & De Costa, P. I. (2018). Research tasks on identity in language learning and teaching. *Language Teaching*, 51(1), 90–112. <http://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444817000325>
- Norton, B., & Toohey, K. (2011). Identity, language learning, and social change. *Language Teaching*, 44(04), 412–446.
- Nussbaum, M. (2010). *Not for profit: Why democracy needs the humanities*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Oakes, J. (1985). *Keeping track: How schools structure inequality*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Orellana, M. F. (2009). *Translating childhoods: Immigrant youth, language, and culture*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.
- Orellana, M. F., Reynolds, J. F., Dorner, L. and Meza, M. (2003). In other words: Translating or “paraphrasing” as a family literacy practice in immigrant households. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 38, 12–34.
- Ortega, L. (2014). Ways forward for a bi/multilingual turn in SLA. In S. May (Ed.), *The multilingual turn: Implications for SLA, TESOL and bilingual education* (pp. 32–52). New York, NY: Routledge/Taylor & Francis.
- Otheguy, R., García, O., & Reid, W. (2015). Clarifying translanguaging and deconstructing named languages: A perspective from linguistics. *Applied Linguistics Review*, 6(3), 281–307. <http://doi.org/10.1515/applirev-2015-0014>
- Otsuji, E., & Pennycook, A. (2010). Metrolingualism: Fixity, fluidity and language in flux. *International Journal of Multilingualism*, 7(3), 240–254.
- Ovando, C. (2003). Bilingual education in the United States: Historical development and current issues. *The Journal of the National Association for Bilingual Education*, 27(1), 1–24.
- Pak, S. & Hartung, S. K. (1999). *Querido Juno* (Dear Juno). New York, NY: Penguin Group.
- Palmer, D. K. (2008). Building and destroying students’ “academic identities”: The power of discourse in a two-way immersion classroom. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 21(6), 647–667. <http://doi.org/10.1080/09518390701470537>
- Palmer, D. K. (2009a). Code-switching and symbolic power in a second-grade two-way classroom: A teacher’s motivation system gone awry. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 32(1), 42–59. <http://doi.org/10.1080/15235880902965854>



- Palmer, D. K. (2009b). Middle-class English speakers in a two-way immersion bilingual classroom: "Everybody should be listening to Jonathan right now..." *TESOL Quarterly*, 43(2), 177–202.
- Palmer, D. K. (2010). Race, power, and equity in a multiethnic urban elementary school with a dual-language "strand" program. *Anthropology & Education Quarterly*, 41(1), 94–114. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1548-1492.2010.01069.x>
- Palmer, D. K., & Martínez, R. (2013) Teacher agency in bilingual spaces: A fresh look at preparing teachers to educate Latina/o bilingual children. 37, 269-297. *Review of Research in Education*. <http://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X12463556>
- Palmer, D. K., Martínez, R., Mateus, S., & Henderson, K. (2014). Reframing the debate on language separation: Toward a vision for translanguaging pedagogies in the dual immersion class- room. *The Modern Language Journal*, 98, 757–772. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.2014.12121.x>
- Parker, L. L. (1977). *Bilingual education: Current perspectives: Vol 4. Education* (p. vii–ix). Washington, DC: Center for Applied Linguistics.
- Pearson, B. Z. (2007). Social factors in childhood bilingualism in the United States. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 28(03), 399–410. <http://doi.org/10.1017/S014271640707021X>
- Pérez, B. (2004). *Becoming biliterate: A study of two-way bilingual immersion education*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Pontier, R., & Gort, M. (2016). Coordinated translanguaging pedagogy as distributed cognition: A case study of two dual language bilingual education preschool co-teachers' languaging practices during shared book readings. *International Multilingual Research Journal*, 10(2) 89-106. <http://doi.org/10.1080/19313152.2016.1150732>
- Potowski, K. (2007). *Language and identity in a dual immersion school*. Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Potowski, K. (2004). Student Spanish use and investment in a dual immersion classroom: Implications for second language acquisition and heritage language maintenance. *The Modern Language Journal*, 88(1), 75–101.
- Poza, L. (2017). Translanguaging: Definitions, implications, and future needs in burgeoning inquiry. *Berkeley Review of Education*, 6(2), 101–128. <http://doi.org/10.5811/westjem.2011.5.6700>
- Prasad, G. (forthcoming). Building students' language awareness and literacy engagement through the creation of collaborative multilingual identity texts 2.0. *Language Awareness in Multilingual Classrooms in Europe: From Theory to Practice*. Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter.
- Prasad, G. (2016). Beyond the mirror towards a plurilingual prism: Exploring the creation of plurilingual "identity texts" in English and French classrooms in Toronto and Montpellier. *Intercultural Education*, 26(6), 497–514. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14675986.2015.1109775>



- Rappaport, D., & Collier, B. (2001) *Martin's big words: The life of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.* New York, NY: Hyperion Books for Children.
- Reyes, I. (2006). Exploring connections between emergent biliteracy and bilingualism. *Journal of Early Childhood Literacy*, 6(3), 267–292.
- Reyes, K. B., & Curry Rodríguez, J. E. (2012). Testimonio: Origins, terms, and resources. *Equity and Excellence in Education*, 45(3), 525–538. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10665684.2012.698571>
- Rogers, R. (Ed.). (2011). *An introduction to critical discourse analysis in education*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Rogoff, B. (1990). *Apprenticeship in thinking: Cognitive development in social context*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Rogoff, B. (1994). Developing understanding of the idea of communities of learners. *Mind, Culture, and Activity: An International Journal*, 1(4), 209–229.
- Rosa, J., & Flores, N. (2017) Unsettling race and language: Toward a raciolinguistic perspective. *Language in Society*, 1–27. <http://doi.org/10.1017/S0047404517000562>
- Ruíz, R. (1984). Orientations in language planning. *NABE Journal*, 8(2), 15–34.
- Rymes, B. (2010). Classroom discourse analysis: A focus on communicative repertoires. In N. H. Hornberger & S. L. McKay (Eds.), *Sociolinguistics and language education* (pp. 528-546). Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Saldaña, J. (2009). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers*. Los Angeles, CA: Sage.
- Sanchez, I. (2015, July). *Project GLAD: So much more to learn*. Washington, DC: New America. Retrieved from <https://www.newamerica.org/education-policy/edcentral/project-glad/>
- Sánchez, M. T., García, O., & Solorza, C. (2018). Reframing language allocation policy in dual language bilingual education. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 41(1), 37-51. <http://doi.org/10.1080/15235882.2017.1405098>
- Saussure, F. de (1966). *Course in general linguistics*. (W. Baskin, trans. [1916]). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Sayer, P. (2013). Translanguaging, TexMex, and bilingual pedagogy: Emergent bilinguals learning through the vernacular. *TESOL Quarterly*, 47(1), 63–88.
- Scanlan, M., & Palmer, D. (2009). Race, power, and (in)equity within two-way immersion settings. *The Urban Review*, 41(5), 391-415.
- Schmidt, R. W. (1990). The role of consciousness in second language learning. *Applied Linguistics* 11(2), 129–158.



- Shuck, G. (2006). Racializing the nonnative English speaker. *Journal of Language, Identity & Education*, 5(4), 259–276. http://doi.org/10.1207/s15327701jlie0504_1
- Silverstein, M. (1979). Language structure and linguistic ideology. In P. Clyne, W. Hanks, & C. Hofbauer (Eds.), *The elements* (pp. 193–248). Chicago, IL: Chicago Linguistic Society.
- Stake, R. E. (2005). Qualitative case studies. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of qualitative research* (3rd ed., pp. 443–466). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Steele, J. L., Slater, R. O., Zamarro, G., Miller, T., Li, J., Burkhauser, S., & Bacon, M. (2017). Effects of dual-language immersion programs on student achievement. *American Educational Research Journal*, 54(1S), 282S–306S. <http://doi.org/10.3102/0002831216634463>
- Svalberg, A. M. L. (2012). Language awareness in language learning and teaching: A research agenda. *Language Teaching*, 45(3), 376–388. <http://doi.org/doi:10.1017/S0261444812000079>
- Swain, M. (2006) Linguaging, agency and collaboration in advanced second language learning. In H. Byrnes (Ed.) *Advanced language learning: The contributions of Halliday and Vygotsky* (pp. 95–108). London, UK: Continuum.
- Swain, M., & Lapkin, S. (2005). The evolving sociopolitical context of immersion education in Canada: Some implications for program development. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 15(2), 169–186. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1473-4192.2005.00086.x>
- Swain, M., & Lapkin, S. (2013). A Vygotskian sociocultural perspective on immersion education: The L1/L2 debate. *Journal of Immersion and Content-Based Education*, 1, 101–129.
- Swain, M., & Watanabe, Y. (2013). Linguaging: Collaborative dialogue as a source of second language learning. In C. A. Chapelle (Ed.), *The encyclopedia of applied linguistics* (pp. 3218–3225). Oxford, UK: Blackwell.
- Tse, L. (1996). Who decides? The effect of language brokering on home-school communication. *Journal of Educational Issues of Language Minority Students*, 16, 225–233.
- Thomas, W. P., & Collier, V. P. (2002). *A national study of school effectiveness for language minority students' long-term academic achievement*. Santa Cruz, CA: Center for Research on Education, Diversity & Excellence, University of California–Santa Cruz.
- Thomas, W. P., & Collier, V. P. (2012). *Dual language education for a transformed world*. Albuquerque, NM: Dual Language Education of New Mexico/Fuente Press.
- Urrieta, L. (2010). *Working from within: Chicana and Chicano activist educators in whitestream schools*. Tucson, AZ: University of Arizona Press.
- U.S. Census Bureau (2016). QuickFacts United States. Retrieved date accessed 12/15/17, from <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/>.



- Valdés, G., Fishman, J., Chávez, R., & Pérez, W. (2006) *Developing minority language resources: The case of Spanish in California*. Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Valdés, G. (1997). Dual language immersion programs: A cautionary note concerning the education of language-minority students. *Harvard Educational Review*, 67, 391–429
- Valdés, G. (2002). *Expanding definitions of giftedness: The case of young interpreters from immigrant countries*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Valenzuela, A. (1999) *Subtractive schooling: U.S.-Mexican youth and the politics of caring*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.
- Van Lier, L. (1995). *Introducing language awareness*. New York, NY: Penguin.
- Varghese, M. M., & Park, C. (2010). Going global: Can dual-language programs save bilingual education? *Journal of Latinos and Education*, 9(1), 72–80. <http://doi.org/10.1080/15348430903253092>
- Vygotsky, L.S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. ^[L]_[SEP]
- Weedon, C. (1987). *Feminist practice and poststructuralist theory*. Oxford, UK: B. Blackwell.
- Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Williams, C. (1994). Arfarniad o ddulliau dysgu ac addysgu yng nghyd-destun addysg uwchradd ddwyieithog [An evaluation of teaching and learning methods in the context of bilingual secondary education]. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Wales, Bangor, UK.
- Willis, P. E. (1977). *Learning to labor: How working class kids get working class jobs*. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.
- Wilson, D. M. (2011) Dual language programs on the rise: “Enrichment” model puts content learning front and center for ELL students. *Harvard Education Letter*. 27(2). Harvard Education Publishing Group.
- Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction. (2015, December). *Report on the status of bilingual-bicultural education programs in Wisconsin, subchapter VII of chapter 115, Wisconsin statutes, school years 2012-2013 and 2013-2014*.
- Wood, B. (2016, April 29) As Utah’s first dual-immersion students are prepping for college, so is their language program. *The Salt Lake Tribune*. Retrieved from <http://www.sltrib.com/home/3752079-155/as-utahs-first-dual-immersion-students-are?fullpage=1>.



- Wood, D., Bruner, J. S., & Ross, G. (1976). The role of tutoring in problem solving. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 17,89–100. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.1976.tb00381.x
- Wortham, S. E. F. (2006). *Learning identity: The joint emergence of social identification and academic learning*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Wortham, S. E. F. (2012). Beyond macro and micro in the linguistic anthropology of education. *Anthropology and Education Quarterly*, 43(2), 128–137.
- Wortham, S. E. F. & Reyes, A. (2015) *Discourse analysis beyond the speech event*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Worthy, J., Durán, L., Hikida, M., Pruitt, A., & Peterson, K. (2013). Spaces for dynamic bilingualism in read-aloud discussions: Developing and strengthening bilingual and academic skills. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 36, 311–328. doi:10.1080/15235882.2013.845622
- Zavala, V. (2015). “It will emerge if they grow fond of it”: Translanguaging and power in Quechua teaching. *Linguistics and Education*, 32, 16–26. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.linged.2015.01.009>
- Zentella, A.C. (1997). *Growing up bilingual: Puerto Rican children in New York*. Oxford, UK: Blackwell.
- Zúñiga, C. E. (2016). Between language as problem and resource: Examining teachers’ language orientations in dual-language programs. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 39(3–4), 339–353.