

**Title of Project:** English-medium Instruction in French Higher Education: Competing Ideologies, Policies and Practices

Researcher: Marianne Blattès King's College London marianne.blattes@hotmail.fr

**Research Supervisor:** Dr. Constant Leung King's College London



Marianne Blattès

#### **Final Report**

#### Motivation for the Research

I am particularly interested in the history of language policy in France and the relationship between French language, politics, and identity. I was especially intrigued when it was announced in Parliament that a bill (i.e., Article 2 of the Fioraso law) was being passed to facilitate English medium instruction (EMI) in French universities as the decision to depart from the traditional French-only model signaled a major shift in the history of language policy in France. The field of EMI is in itself an important area of research. Over the past decade there has been an exponential rise in the number of courses being delivered in English across Europe, making EMI a particularly significant area of research in European higher education. Few studies, however, have examined EMI in France because it is a comparatively recent phenomenon.

My study contributes to the field of language policy and planning (LPP) and more specifically to the research on EMI by filling in a gap in the literature in terms of the methodological approach and the particular research context. My study contributes to the on-going exploration of EMI. This research is timely because the introduction of courses in English in French universities is a comparatively recent phenomenon. By investigating an under-researched context, my study fills in a gap in the literature on EMI. The French outlook on EMI adds to the different facets of the "Englishization" of higher education in Europe. The spread of EMI has mostly been explored in contexts where the use of English is widespread and the national language is not widely taught elsewhere as a modern language. By exploring EMI in a country where the national language enjoys strong vitality and international status, my study provides an original contribution to the field. Furthermore, France's unique language policy history makes it a particularly intriguing case to study.

Most scholars discussing language policy in France have focused solely on official written legal documents. By showing how policy actors, at all levels, engage with and make sense of language policy, my research provides a more comprehensive picture of French language policy and challenges popular linguistic accounts of how the French supposedly protect their language at all costs.



Finally, by applying an LPP framework to the study of EMI, my study offers a different way of exploring and understanding the spread of EMI. The "ethnography of language policy" is particularly useful in illustrating how EMI is enacted in different ways across various policy contexts. Unlike other studies which usually focus on one policy layer (e.g., the classroom), the multi-sited approach to EMI reveals how it takes on significantly different meanings in diverse locations. By taking a more holistic approach, I have addressed other facets of EMI (the ideological, the political, and the discursive), thus, broadening the scope of our understanding of EMI.

#### **Research Questions**

The overall aim of my research was to examine the trajectory of Article 2 and how it has been understood in different policy contexts. In other words, my study explores how policy texts and related discourses move through national, institutional and local levels. By the time a policy reaches the classroom, the language practices can be very different from those intended at the start, creating a gap between policy and practice. By bringing together a macro-level analysis of language policy and a micro-level investigation, which takes into account people's perceptions, experiences and practices, I provide a holistic understanding of the relationship between policy documents, institutional interpretations, and classroom practices.

My research questions were thus organized to capture how policies move through different policy layers. Research Question 1 deals with "official" language policy texts, Question 2 with local policy actors' beliefs about EMI, and Question 3 explores what goes on in the EMI classroom:

- 1. How is Article 2 discursively (co-)constructed, and what discourses does it draw on?
- 2. How do local policy actors understand and interpret EMI?
- 3. How do the local policy actors enact EMI?

#### **Research Methodology**

My research is about EMI in France and more specifically about Article 2 of the Fioraso Law which was introduced in July 2013, which made it easier for French universities to deliver courses in English. Using Article 2 as the starting point for the research, the overall aim of the thesis was to examine the policy trajectory of Article 2 and how it has been recontextualised within a specific institutional setting. Ultimately, the goal was to understand how Article 2 (and by extension EMI) has been interpreted and enacted "on the ground." My aim was to provide a holistic picture of Article 2, from its conception in Parliament to its enactment in the classroom.

The thesis comprises three main data sets: official language policy documents (parliamentary debates and four versions of Article 2), interviews (with 8 EMI teachers and 2 university administrators) and EMI classroom observation (14 hours).

#### **Summary of Findings**

Whereas EMI has become naturalized and taken for granted in the university context, it is still largely contested and highly contentious at the national level. On the one hand, the use of English is considered to be part of everyday discourse in the university environment. Conversely, in the political



domain EMI is part of an ideological struggle. The reason why EMI is such a sensitive topic at the national level is that it symbolizes much more than just courses in English. The instrumentalization of EMI for political purposes shows how EMI does not have much to do with university education. The fact that EMI is accepted in the university and is highly contentious in the political domain reveals how EMI takes on different meanings across different policy contexts. Even though discursive relationships across policy layers may exist, this does not necessarily mean that policy layers interact or connect as such. These findings are significant because they reveal how consent and contestation take place, that is how a language policy can be accepted in one place and contested in another.

Although the parliamentary debates revealed significant resistance to EMI, I found that the majority of MPs were in favor of adopting EMI albeit in a moderate and controlled way. I found that the final bill was considerably different from the initial draft. While the first version more or less allowed EMI without restriction, the last one tightly controlled EMI. The transformation of the policy text however, suggests greater resistance than was actually the case. The most vehement critics of EMI are in fact a vocal minority but have considerable influence on the process of policy text production. I concluded that there is a discrepancy between the policy document and the parliamentary debates.

At the university level I found that although knowledge of English is seen as an integral part of their work as academics, they believe it is not their job to teach it. I found that teachers are primarily concerned about content knowledge and scientific expertise rather than English proficiency. Overall, teachers do not see EMI as a problem, since language, for them, is secondary. They openly concede that they have linguistic difficulties yet minimize them by arguing that the focus is on science not language. In their view, it is sufficient to master "scientific English" in order to be able to teach science. Finally, I noted that participants have a monolingual and monolithic interpretation of EMI which leaves little space for other languages.

My classroom observation data revealed how teachers overwhelmingly used English during the entire lesson (in formal and informal situations). English was not only used for delivering the lesson, it was also used for asking/replying to questions, for telling anecdotes and for making side-comments. French was very occasionally used by teachers during the lesson but mostly for off-topic related comments or for lexical insertions (when they were missing a vocabulary word in English) and also in more informal discussions with students and outside the "official" EMI classroom (for example during classroom breaks). Overall, I found that EMI works as long as the teachers are able to manage and limit classroom participation. However, as soon as teachers open up the front stage to students, more difficulties arise.

#### Implications

My thesis has practical implications in that my research can inform university language policies. As my research findings show, EMI has not been given thought and consideration. There is no university language policy, as such, and no guidelines. Decisions relating to language are subsumed in the UJF's internationalization policy documents or are made on an *ad hoc* individual basis. Teachers are expected to produce the exact same courses in French and in English. This is neither realistic nor possible. By exposing the different possible EMI configurations and the various current practices, my research highlights the implications of changing the medium of instruction. While this study does not offer a one-size-fits-all EMI framework it nevertheless encourages policy actors to problematize EMI and not view language matters as secondary. As for EMI teachers, this research encourages them to reflect on their



own practices and acknowledge the fact there are differences when classes are taught in a second language.



#### References

Adamson, R. (2007). The defence of French: A language in crisis? Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.

- Ager, D. E. (1996). Language policy in Britain and France. London, UK: Cassell.
- Ager, D. E. (1999). *Identity, insecurity and image: France and language*. Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Ager, D. E. (2001). *Motivation in language planning and language policy*. Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Airey, J. (2011). Talking about teaching in English. Swedish university lecturers' experiences of changing their teaching language. *Ibérica* 22, 35-54.
- Airey, J. (2012a). I don't teach language: The linguistic attitudes of physics lecturers in Sweden. AILA Review, 25(25), 64-79.
- Airey, J. (2016). EAP, EMI or CLIL? In K. Hyland and P. Shaw (Eds.), *The Routledge handbook of English for academic purposes* (pp. 71-83). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Airey, J., Lauridsen, K. M., Räsänen, A., Salö, L., & Schwach, V. (2017). The expansion of English-medium instruction in the Nordic countries: Can top-down university language policies encourage bottom-up disciplinary literacy goals? *Higher Education*, *73*(4), 561-576.
- Airey, J., & Linder, C. (2006). Language and the experience of learning university physics in Sweden. *European Journal of Physics*, 27(3), 553-560.
- Airey, J., & Linder, C. (2008). Bilingual scientific literacy? The use of English in Swedish university science courses. *Nordic Journal of English Studies*, 7(3), 145-161.
- Altbach, P. G., & Knight, J. (2007). The internationalization of higher education: Motivations and realities. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, *11*(3-4), 290-305.
- Arthur, J. (1996). Code switching and collusion: Classroom interaction in Botswana primary schools. *Linguistics and Education*, 8(1), 17-33.
- Ball, S. J. (1993). What is policy? Texts, trajectories and toolboxes. *The Australian Journal of Education Studies*, 13(2), 10-17.
- Ball, S. J. (1998). Big policies/small world: An introduction to international perspectives in education policy. *Comparative Education, 34*(2), 119-130.
- Ball, S. J. (2006). *Education policy and social class: The selected works of Stephen J. Ball.* London, UK: Routledge.



- Björkman, B. (2010). So you think you can ELF: English as a lingua franca as the medium of instruction. *Hermes–Journal of Language and Communication Studies*, 45, 77-96.
- Blackledge, A. (2006). The magical frontier between the dominant and the dominated: Sociolinguistics and social justice in a multilingual world. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 27(1), 22-41.
- Blommaert, J., & Verschueren, J. (1992). The role of language in European nationalist ideologies. *Pragmatics*, 2(3), 355-375.
- Blommaert, J. (2005a). Situating language rights: English and Swahili in Tanzania revisited. *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, *9*(3), 390-417.
- Blommaert, J. (2005b). Discourse: A critical introduction. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Blommaert, J. (2007). Sociolinguistics scales. Intercultural Pragmatics, 4(1), 1-19.

- Bolton, K., & Kuteeva, M. (2012). English as an academic language at a Swedish university: Parallel language use and the 'threat' of English. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development,* 33(5), 429-447.
- Bowe, R., Ball, S., & Gold, A. (1992). *Reforming education and changing schools: Case studies in policy sociology*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Brenn-White, M., & Faethe, E. (2013). English-taught master's programs in Europe: A 2013 update. New York, NY: Institute of International Education. Retrieved 3 September 2016, from https://www.iie.org/Research-and-Insights/Publications/English-Language-Masters-Briefing-Paper-2013-Update
- Calvet, L. J. (2002). *Marché aux langues: Les effects linguistiques de la mondialisation*. Paris, France: Plon.
- Cameron, D. (2001). Working with spoken discourse. London, UK: Sage.
- Campus France. (2013). Les formations enseignées en anglais. Retrieved 17 January 2014, from http://www.campusfrance.org/fr/page/les-formations-enseignees-en-anglais.
- Campus France. (2014). *Au-delà de l'influence : l'apport économique des étudiants étrangers en France.* Paris: Campus France.
- Canagarajah, A. S. (1995). Functions of codeswitching in the ESL classroom: Socialising bilingualism in Jaffna. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, *16*(3), 173-196.
- Canagarajah, A. S. (1999). Resisting linguistic imperialism in English teaching. Oxford, UK: OUP.

6



- Canagarajah, A. S. (2006). Ethnographic methods in language policy. In T. Ricento (Ed.), *An introduction to language policy: Theory and method* (pp. 153-169). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Chansou, M. (1983). Politique de la langue et idéologie en français contemporain. *Mots, 6*(1), 59-77.
- Chansou, M. (1997). Les politiques de la langue et la législation linguistique en France (1966-1994). *Mots*, *52*(1), 23-35.
- Chaubet, F. (2004). L'Alliance française ou la diplomatie de la langue (1883-1914). *Revue historique*, 4, 763-785.
- Chick, J. K. (1996). Safe-talk: Collusion in apartheid education. In H. Coleman (Ed.), *Society and the language classroom* (pp. 21-39). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Chouliaraki, L., & Fairclough, N. (1999). *Discourse in late modernity: Rethinking critical discourse analysis*. Edinburgh, UK: Edinburgh University Press.
- Cincotta-Segi, A. (2011). Talking in, talking around and talking about the L2: Three literacy teaching responses to L2 medium of instruction in the Lao PDR. *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education*, *41*(2), 195-209.
- Cole, A., & Harguindéguy, J-B. P. (2013). The Jacobin Republic and language rights: Ethnolinguistic mobilizations in France. *Regional & Federal Studies, 23*(1), 27-46.
- Coleman, J. A. (2006). English-medium teaching in European higher education. *Language Teaching*, 39(1), 1-14.
- Coleman, J. A. (2012). Foreword. In A. Doiz, D. Lasagabaster, & J. M. Sierra (Eds.), *English-medium instruction at universities: Global challenges* (pp. xiii-xvi). Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Coleman, H. (Ed.). (1996). Society and the language classroom. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Cooper, R. L. (1989). Language planning and social change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Costa, F., & Coleman, J. (2010). Integrating content and language in higher education in Italy. Ongoing research. *International CLIL Research Journal*, 1(3), 19-29.
- Costa, F., & Coleman, J. (2013). A survey of English-medium instruction in Italian higher education. International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism, 16(1), 3-19.
- Cots, J. M. (2012). Introducing English-medium instruction at the University of Lleida, Spain: Intervention, beliefs and practices. In A. Doiz, D. Lasagabaster & J. M. Sierra (Eds.), *English-medium instruction at universities: Global challenges* (pp. 106-130). Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters.



- Dalton-Puffer, C. (2011). Content-and-language integrated learning: From practice to principles? *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 31. 182-204.
- Dearden, J. (2014). *English as a medium of instruction: A growing global phenomenon*. London, UK: British Council.
- Deneire, M. (2015). Images of English in the French press. In A. Linn, N. Bermel, & G. Ferguson (Eds.), *Attitudes towards English in Europe: English in Europe* (pp. 55-70). Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (Eds.). (2005). *The sage handbook of qualitative research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- De Swaan, A. (2001). Words of the world: The global language system. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press and Blackwell.
- Dimova, S., Hultgren, A. K., & Jensen, C. (Eds.). (2015). *English-medium instruction in European higher education: English in Europe*. Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Doiz, A., Lasagabaster, D., & Sierra, J. M. (2011). Internationalisation, multilingualism and Englishmedium instruction. *World Englishes*, *30*(3), 345-359.
- Doiz, A., Lasagabaster, D., & Sierra, J. M. (Eds.). (2012). *English-medium instruction at universities: Global challenges*. Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Doiz, A., Lasagabaster, D., & Sierra, J. M. (2014). Language friction and multilingual policies in higher education: The stakeholders' view. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development, 35*(4), 345-360.
- Dörnyei, Z. (2007). *Research methods in applied linguistics*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Duff, P. A. (2008). Case study research in applied linguistics. New York, NY: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Duff, P. A., & Roberts, C. (1997). The politics of transcription; Transcribing talk: Issues of representation. *TESOL Quarterly*, *31*(1), 167-172.
- Edwards, R., & Holland, J. (2013). What is qualitative interviewing? London, UK: Bloomsbury Academic.
- Erling, E. J., & Hilgendorf, S. K. (2006). Language policies in the context of German higher education. *Language Policy*, 5(3), 267-293.
- Estival, D., & Pennycook, A. (2011). L'Académie française and Anglophone language ideologies. *Language Policy*, 10(4), 325-341.
- Etiemble, R. (1964). *Parlez-vous franglais?* Paris, France: Gallimard.
- Fairclough, N. (1989). Language and power. Harlow, UK: Longman.

8



Fairclough, N. (1992). *Discourse and social change*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

- Fairclough, N. (1993). Critical discourse analysis and the marketization of public discourse: The universities. *Discourse & Society*, 4(2), 133-168.
- Fairclough, N. (1995). *Media discourse*. London, UK: Edward Arnold.
- Fairclough, N. (2003). Analysing discourse: Textual analysis for social research. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Fairclough, N. (2010). *Critical discourse analysis: The critical study of language*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Fairclough, I., & Fairclough, N. (2012). *Political discourse: A method for advanced students.* New York, NY: Routledge.
- Fairclough, N., & Wodak, R. (1997). Critical discourse analysis. In T. A. van Dijk (Ed.), *Discourse as social interaction* (pp. 258-284). London, UK: Sage.
- Ferguson, G. (2006). *Language planning and education*. Edinburgh, UK: Edinburgh University Press.
- Ferguson, G. (2007). The global spread of English, scientific communication and ESP: Questions of equity, access and domain loss. *Ibérica*, 13, 7-38.
- Ferguson, G. (2012). English in language policy and management. In B. Spolsky (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of language policy* (pp. 475-498). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Fishman, J. A. (1974). Advances in language planning. The Hague, The Netherlands: Mouton.
- Fishman, J. A., Ferguson, C. A., & Gupta, J. D. (1968). *Language problems of developing nations*. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Flood, J., Heath, S. B., & Lapp, D. (Eds.). (2004). *Handbook of research on teaching literacy through the communicative and visual arts.* New York, NY: MacMillan.
- Gajo, L. (2013). Le plurilinguisme *dans* et *pour* la science: enjeux d'une politique linguistique à l'université. *Synergies Europe*, *1*, 97-109.
- García, O., Flores, N., & Spotti, M. (Eds.). (2017). *The Oxford handbook of language and society*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Geertz, C. (1973). *The interpretation of cultures: Selected essays.* New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Giddens, A. (1984). *The constitution of society: Outline of the theory of structuration*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Goffman, E. (1959). The presentation of self in everyday life. London, UK: Harmondsworth.
- Goffman, E. (1971). *Relations in public*. New York, NY: Harper and Row.



Goffman, E. (1981). Forms of talk. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press.

- Gordon P. H., & Meunier, S. (2001). *The French challenge: Adapting to globalization.* Washington, DC: Brookings.
- Green, J., & Bloome, D. (2004). Ethnography and ethnographers of and in education: A situated perspective. In J. Flood, S. B. Heath and D. Lapp (Eds.), *Handbook of research on teaching literacy through the communicative and visual arts* (pp. 181-202). New York, NY: MacMillan.
- Grillo, R. D. (1989). *Dominant languages: Language and hierarchy in Britain and France*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Grin, F. (2014). Dépasser les idées reçues. Le Débat, 1(178), 127-135.
- Gumperz, J. (1996). The linguistic and cultural relativity of inference. In J. Gumperz & S. Levinson (Eds.), *Rethinking linguistic relativity* (pp. 374-406). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Gumperz, J. (1999). On interactional sociolinguistic method. In S. Sarangi & C. Roberts (Eds.), *Talk, work and institutional order: Discourse in medical, mediation and management settings* (pp. 453-471). Berlin, Germany: Mouton.
- Gumperz, J., & Levinson, S. (Eds.). (1996). *Rethinking linguistic relativity*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Hagège, C. (1996). *Le français, histoire d'un combat*. Paris, France: Michel Hagège.
- Hagège, C. (2000). Halte à la mort des langues. Paris, France: Odile Jacob.
- Hagège, C. (2012). *Contre la pensée unique*. Paris, France: Odile Jacob.
- Haugen, E. (1959). Planning for a standard language in modern Norway. *Anthropological Linguistics*, 1(3), 8-21.
- Hazeersingh, S. (2015). *How the French think: An affectionate portrait of an intellectual people.* New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Hellekjær, G. O. (2007). The implementation of undergraduate level English medium programs in Norway: An explorative case study. In R. Wilkinson & V. Zegars (Eds.), *Researching content and language integration in higher education* (pp. 68-81). Maastricht, The Netherlands: Universitaire Pers Maastricht.
- Heller, M. (2003). Globalization, the new economy, and the commodification of language and identity. *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, 7(4), 473-492.
- Heller, M., & Martin-Jones, M. (Eds.). (2001). *Voices of authority: Education and linguistic difference*. Westport, CT: Ablex.



- Héran, F. (2013). L'anglais hors la loi? Enquête sur les langues de recherche et d'enseignement en France. *Institut National d'Études Démographiques* (INED), 501, 1-4.
- Hornberger, N. H. (1988). *Bilingual education and language maintenance: A southern Peruvian Quechua case*. Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Hornberger, N. H. (2005). Opening and filling up implementational and ideological spaces in heritage language education. *The Modern Language Journal, 89*(4), 605-609.
- Hornberger, N. H. (2006). Frameworks and models in language policy and planning. In T. Ricento (Ed.), *An introduction to language policy: Theory and method* (pp. 24-41). Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing.
- Hornberger, N. H., & Johnson, D. C. (2007). Slicing the onion ethnographically: Layers and spaces in multilingual language education policy and practice. *TESOL Quarterly*, *41*(3), 509-532.
- Hornberger, N. H., & Johnson, D. C. (2011). The ethnography of language policy. In T. L. McCarty (Ed.), *Ethnography and language policy* (pp. 273-289). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Hu, G., Li, L., & Lei, J. (2014). English-medium instruction at a Chinese university: Rhetoric and reality. *Language Policy*, *13*, 21-40.
- Hughes, R. (Ed.). (2006). *Spoken English, TESOL and applied linguistics: Challenges for theory and practice*. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hughes, R., & Reed, B. S. (2006). Factors affecting turn-taking behaviour: Genre meets prosody. In R.
  Hughes (Ed.), Spoken English, TESOL and applied linguistics: Challenges for theory and practice (pp. 126-140). New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hult, F. M. (2010). Analysis of language policy discourses across the scales of space and time. *International Journal of the Sociology of Language*, 202, 7-24.
- Hult, F. M. (2015). Making policy connections across scales using nexus analysis. In F. M. Hult & D. C. Johnson (Eds.), *Research methods in language policy and planning: A practical guide* (pp. 217-232). Malden, MA: Wiley Blackwell.
- Hult, F. M. (2017). Discursive approaches to policy. In S. E. F. Wortham, D. Kim, & S. May (Eds.), *Discourse and education* (pp. 111-121). New York, NY: Springer.
- Hult, F. M., & Johnson, D. C. (Eds.). (2015). *Research methods in language policy and planning: A practical guide*. Malden, MA: Wiley Blackwell.
- Hult, F. M., & Johnson, D. C. (2015). The practice of language policy research. In F. M. Hult & D. C. Johnson (Eds.), *Research methods in language policy and planning: A practical guide* (pp. 1-6). Malden, MA: Wiley Blackwell.



- Hultgren, A. K., Jensen, C., & Dimova, S. (2015). English-medium instruction in European higher education: From the north to the south. In S. Dimova, A. K. Hultgren, & C. Jensen (Eds.), *English-medium instruction in European higher education* (pp. 1-15). Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Hyland, K., & Shaw, P. (Eds.). (2016). *The routledge handbook of English for academic purposes*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Jaffe, A. (1999). *Ideologies in action: Language politics on Corsica*. Berlin, Germany: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Jenkins, J. (2014). English as a lingua franca in the international iniversity: The politics of academic English language policy. Abingdon, VA: Routledge.
- Jessop, B. (2004). Critical semiotic analysis and cultural political economy. *Critical Discourse Studies*, 1(2), 159-174.
- Jessop, B., Fairclough, N., & Wodak, R. (Eds.). (2008). *Education and the knowledge-based economy in Europe*. Rotterdam, The Netherlands: Sense Publishers.
- Johnson, D. C. (2007). Language policy within and without the School District of Philadelphia. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Department of Educational Linguistics, University of Pennsylvania.
- Johnson, D. C. (2009). Ethnography of language policy. *Language Policy*, 8(2), 139-159.
- Johnson, D. C. (2011). Critical discourse analysis and the ethnography of language policy. *Critical Discourse Studies, 8*(4), 267-279.
- Johnson, D. C. (2013a). *Language policy*. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Johnson, D. C. (2013b). Introduction: Ethnography of language policy. *International Journal of the Sociology of Language, 2013*(219), 1-6.
- Johnson, D. C. (2015). Intertextuality and language policy. In F. M. Hult & D. C. Johnson (Eds.), *Research methods in language policy and planning: A practical guide* (pp. 166-180). Malden, MA: Wiley Blackwell.
- Johnson, D. C., & Johnson, E.J. (2014). Power and agency in language policy appropriation. *Language Policy*, 14(3), 221-243.
- Källkvist, M., & Hult, F. (2016). Discursive mechanisms and human agency in language policy formation: Negotiating bilingualism and parallel language use at a Swedish university. *International Journal* of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism, 19(1), 1-17.
- Kaplan, R. B., & Baldauf, R. B. (1997). *Language planning: From practice to theory*. Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.



- Kirkpatrick, A. (2011). Internationalization or Englishization: Medium of instruction in today's universities. Hong Kong: The Hong Kong Institute of Education.
- Klaassen, R. (2001). *The international university curriculum: Challenges in English-medium instruction*. Doctoral dissertation. Delft, Netherlands: Delft University of Technology.
- Kling, J. (2015). "You try with a little humor and you just get on with it": Danish lecturers' reflections on English-medium instruction. In S. Dimova, A. K. Hultgren & C. Jensen (Eds.), *English-Medium Instruction in European higher education* (pp. 201-222). Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Kloss, H. (1969). *Research possibilities on group bilingualism: A report.* Quebec, Canada: International Centre for Research on Bilingualism.
- Knagg, J. (2013). EMI within a Global Context—Towards a British Council Perspective. London, UK: British Council.
- Knapp, A. (2011). Using English as a lingua franca for (mis-)managing conflict in an international university context: An example from a course in engineering. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 43(4), 978-990.
- Krzyżanowski, M., & Wodak, R. (2011). Political strategies and language policies: The European Union Lisbon strategy and its implications for the EU's language and multilingualism policy. *Language Policy*, 10(2), 115-136.
- Kuteeva, M., & Airey, J. (2014). Disciplinary differences in the use of English in higher education: Reflections on recent policy developments. *Higher Education*, *67*(5), 533-549.
- Labov, W. (1972). Sociolinguistic patterns. Philadelphia, PA: University Pennsylvania Press.
- Lasagabaster, D. (2015). Multilingual language policy: Is it becoming a misnomer at university level? In
  S. Dimova, A. K. Hultgren & C. Jensen (Eds.), *English-Medium instruction in European higher* education (pp. 115-134). Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Lawton, R. (2008). Language policy and ideology in the United States: A critical analysis of 'English only' discourse. Paper presented at the Lancaster University Postgraduate Conference in Linguistics and Language Teaching, Lancaster University.
- Leclerc, J. (2016). L'aménagement linguistique dans le monde. Québec: CEFAN Université Laval. Retrieved 20 November 2013, from http://www.axl.cefan.ulaval.ca
- Levinson, B. A. U., & Sutton, M. (2001). Introduction: Policy as/in practice—a sociocultural approach to the study of educational policy. In M. Sutton & B. A. U. Levinson (Eds.), *Policy as Practice: Toward a sociocultural analysis of educational policy* (pp. 1-22). London, UK: Ablex.
- Lévy-Leblond, J-M. (1996). La Pierre de touche: La science à l'épreuve. Paris, France: Gallimard.



- Liddicoat, A. J. (2013). Language-in-education policies: The discursive construction of intercultural relations. Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Linn, A., Bermel, N., & Ferguson, G. (Eds.). (2015). *Attitudes towards English in Europe: English in Europe*. Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Little, D., Leung, C., & Van Avermaet, P. (Eds.). (2014). *Managing diversity in education: Languages, policies, pedagogies*. Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Ljosland, R. (2010). English as an academic lingua franca: Language policies and multilingual practices in a Norwegian university. *Journal of Pragmatics* 43, 991-1004.
- Macaro, E. (2013). *Defining and researching English medium instruction: The need for clear thinking and a clear research agenda*. London, UK: British Council.
- Mann, S. (2011). A critical review of qualitative interviews in applied linguistics. *Applied Linguistics*, 32(1), 6-24.
- Mann, S. (2016). *The research interview: Reflexive practice and reflexivity in research processes*. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Mannheim, K. (1936). *Ideology and utopia*. London: Routledge.
- Manyak, P. C. (2006). Fostering biliteracy in a monolingual milieu: Reflections on two counterhegemonic English immersion classes. *Journal of Early Childhood Literacy*, 6(3), 241-266.
- Martin-Jones, M. (1995). Code-switching in the classroom: Two decades of research. In L. Milroy & P. Muysken (Eds.), *One speaker, two languages: Cross-disciplinary perspectives on code-switching* (pp. 90-112). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- May, S. (2001). Language and minority rights: Ethnicity, nationalism and the politics of language. Harlow, UK: Longman.
- May, S. (2017). Language, imperialism, and the modern nation-state system. In O. García, N. Flores & M. Spotti (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Language and Society* (pp. 35-53). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- McCambridge, L., & Saarinen, T. (2015). "I know that the natives must suffer every now and then": Native/non-native indexing language ideologies in Finnish higher education. In S. Dimova, A. K. Hultgren, & C. Jensen (Eds.), *English-Medium instruction in European higher education* (pp. 291-316). Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter Mouton.
- McCarty, T. L. (2011). *Ethnography and language policy*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Milroy, L., & Muysken, P. (Eds.). (1995). *One speaker, two languages: Cross-disciplinary perspectives on code-switching*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.



- Morrison, K., & Lui, I. (2000). Ideology, linguistic capital and the medium of instruction in Hong Kong. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, *21*(6), 471-486.
- Mortensen, J., & Haberland, H. (2012). English the new Latin of academia? Danish universities as a case. *International Journal of the Sociology of Language*, (216), 175-197.
- Muntigl, P., Weiss, G., & Wodak, R. (Eds.). (2000). European Union discourses on un/employment: An interdisciplinary approach to employment policy-making and organizational change. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Nikula, T., Dafouz E., Moore, P., & Smit, U. (Eds.). (2016). *Conceptualising integration in CLIL and multilingual education.* Bristol: Multilingual Matters.
- Oakes, L. (2001). *Language and national identity: Comparing France and Sweden*. Philadelphia, PA: John Benjamins Publishing.
- Oberhuber, F., & Krzyżanowski, M. (2008). Ethnography and discourse analysis. In R. Wodak & M. Krzyżanowski (Eds.), *Qualitative discourse analysis in the social sciences* (pp. 182-203). Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- O'Connell, A. M., & Chaplier, C. (2014). Quelle anglicisation des formations? *Les Langues Modernes*, 1, 26-34.
- Park, J. S-Y., & Wee, L. (2012). *Markets of English: Linguistic capital and language policy in a globalizing world*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Pennycook, A. (2000). English, politics, ideology: From colonial celebration to postcolonial performativity. In T. Ricento (Ed.), *Ideology, politics and language policies: Focus on English* (pp. 107-120). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins Publishing.
- Phillipson, R. (1992). Linguistic imperialism. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Phillipson, R. (2003). *English-only Europe?: Challenging language policy*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Phillipson, R. (2009). Linguistic imperialism continued. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Phillipson, R. (2015). English as threat or opportunity in European higher education. In S. Dimova, A.K.
  Hultgren, & C. Jensen (Eds.), *English-Medium instruction in European higher education* (pp. 19-42). Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Pulcini, V., & Campagna, S. (2015). Internationalization and the EMI controversy in Italian higher education. In S. Dimova, A. K. Hultgren, & C. Jensen (Eds.), *English-Medium instruction in European higher education* (pp. 65-87). Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Pulinx, R., & Van Avermaet, P. (2014). Linguistic diversity and education. Dynamic interactions between language education policies and teachers' beliefs. A qualitative study in secondary schools in Flanders (Belgium). *Revue française de linguistique appliquée, 19*(2), 9-27.



- Ramanathan, V. (2005). Rethinking language planning and policy from the ground up: Refashioning institutional realities and human lives. *Current Issues in Language Planning, 6*(2), 89-101.
- Reisigl, M., & Wodak, R. (2001). The discourse-historical approach (DHA). In R. Wodak & M. Meyer (Eds.), *Methods of critical discourse studies* (3rd ed.) (pp. 23-61). London, UK: Sage.
- Ricento, T. (Ed.). (2000). *Ideology, politics and language policies: Focus on English*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins Publishing.
- Ricento, T. (ed.). (2006). An introduction to language policy: Theory and method. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Ricento, T., & Hornberger, N. H. (1996). Unpeeling the onion: Language planning and policy and the ELT professional. *TESOL Quarterly*, *30*(3), 401-427.
- Richards, K. (2003). Qualitative Inquiry in TESOL. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave.
- Rizvi, F., & Lingard, B. (2010). *Globalizing education policy*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Roberts, C. (n.d.). Issues in transcribing spoken discourse. Retrieved 4 March 2015, from http://www.kcl.ac.uk/sspp/departments/education/research/Research-Centres/Idc/knowledgetransfer/DATA/part1.pdf
- Roberts, C., & Sarangi, S. (1999). Introduction: Revisiting different analytic frameworks. In S. Sarangi & C.
  Roberts (Eds.), *Talk, work, and institutional order: Discourse in medical, mediation and management settings* (pp. 389-400). Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Rubin, J., & Jernudd, B. H. (1971). *Can language be planned?: Sociolinguistic theory and practice for developing nations*. Hawaii, US: University Press of Hawaii.
- Saarinen, T., & Nikula, T. (2012). Implicit policy, invisible language: Policies and practices of international degree programmes in Finnish Higher Education. In A. Doiz, D. Lasagabaster, & J. M. Sierra (Eds.), *English-Medium instruction at universities: Global challenges* (pp.131-150). Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Santulli, F. (2015). English in Italian universities: The language policy of Polimi from theory to practice. In S. Dimova, A. K. Hultgren, & C. Jensen (Eds.), *English-Medium instruction in European higher education* (pp. 19-42). Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Sarangi, S., & Roberts, C. (Eds.). (1999). *Talk, work and institutional order: Discourse in medical, mediation and management settings*. Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Sauvy, A. (1963, Nov). Menaces sur la langue française. La Revue de Paris, 70, 37-47.
- Schiffman, H. F. (1996). *Linguistic culture and language policy*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Scollon, R. (1996). Discourse identity, social identity, and confusion in intercultural communication. *Intercultural Communication Studies*, 6, 1-16.



Shohamy, E. (2006). *Language policy: Hidden agendas and new approaches*. New York, NY: Routledge.

Sierens, S., & Van Avermaet, P. (2014). Language diversity in education: Evolving from multilingual education to functional multilingual learning. In D. Little, C. Leung, & P. Van Avermaet (Eds.), *Managing Diversity in Education: Languages, policies, pedagogies* (pp. 204-222). Bristol: Multilingual Matters.

Silverman, D. (2013). Doing qualitative research: A practical handbook. London, UK: Sage.

- Smit, U., & Dafouz, E. (Eds.). (2012). Integrating content and language in higher education: Gaining insights into English-medium instruction at European universities. AILA Review, 25. Philadelphia, PA: John Benjamins.
- Söderlundh, H. (2012). Global policies and local norms: Sociolinguistic awareness and language choice at an international university. *International Journal of the Sociology of Language, 216,* 87-109.

Spolsky, B. (2004). Language policy. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

- Spolsky, B. (Ed.). (2012). *The Cambridge handbook of language policy*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Stake, R. E. (1981). The art of progressive focusing. In Proceedings of the 65th Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association. Los Angeles, CA.
- Stake R. E. (1995). *The art of case study research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Stake R. E. (2005). Qualitative case studies. In N. K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 443-466). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Tange, H. (2010). Caught in the Tower of Babel: University lecturers' experiences with internationalisation. *Language and Intercultural Communication*, *10*(2), 137-149.
- Teichler, U. (2009). Internationalisation of higher education: European experiences. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, *10*(1), 93-106.
- Tollefson, J. W., & Tsui, A. B. M. (2004). *Medium of instruction policies: Which agenda? Whose agenda?*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Truchot, C. (2010). L'enseignement supérieur en anglais véhiculaire: la qualité en question. *Diploweb*. Retrieved 11 November 2013, from https://www.diploweb.com/L-enseignement-superieuren.html
- Truchot, C. (2013). Un enseignement en anglais dans les universités françaises? *Mémoires des luttes*. Retrieved 11 November, from http://www.medelu.org/Un-enseignement-en-anglais-dans
- UNESCO. (2013). Global flow in tertiary-level students. Retrieved 12 December 2014, from http://www.uis.unesco.org/Education/Pages/international-student-flow-viz.aspx



- Universities UK. (2014). *International students in higher education: The UK and its competition*. London, UK: Universities UK.
- Unterberger, B. (2014). *English-medium degree programmes in Austrian tertiary business studies: Policies and programme design*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Austria, University of Vienna.
- Unterberger, B., & Wilhelmer, N. (2011). English-medium education in economics and business studies: Capturing the status quo at Austrian universities. *ITL - International Journal of Applied Linguistics: Thematic Issue on Languages in Business Education*, *161*, 90-110.
- Van Dijk, T. A. (Ed.). (1997). Discourse as social interaction. London, UK: Sage.
- Varghese, M. (2004). Professional development for bilingual teachers in the United States: A site for articulating and contesting professional roles. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 7(2-3), 222-237.
- Vinke, A. A. (1995). *English as the medium of instruction in Dutch engineering education*. Doctoral dissertation. Delft, The Netherlands: University Press.
- Wächter, B., & Maiworm, F. (Eds.). (2008). English-taught programmes in European higher education: The picture in 2007. *ACA Papers on International Cooperation in Education.* Bonn, Germany: Lemmens.
- Wächter, B., & Maiworm, F. (Eds.). (2014). English-taught programmes in European higher education: The state of play in 2014. ACA Papers on International Cooperation in Education. Bonn, Germany: Lemmens.
- Walsh, O. (2015). Attitudes towards English in France. In A. Linn, N. Bermel, & G. Ferguson (Eds.), *Attitudes towards English in Europe: English in Europe* (pp. 27-54). Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Wilkinson, R. (2012). English-medium instruction at a Dutch university: Challenges and pitfalls. In A. Doiz, D. Lasagabaster, & J. M. Sierra (Eds.), *English-medium instruction at universities: Global challenges* (pp. 3-24). Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Wilkinson, R., & Walsh, M. L. (Eds.). (2015). *Integrating content and language in higher education: From theory to practice.* Selected Papers from the 2013 ICLHE Conference. Frankfurt am Main, Germany: Peter Lang.
- Wilkinson, R., & Zegars, V. (Eds.). (2007). *Researching content and language integration in higher education*. Maastricht, The Netherlands: Universitaire Pers Maastricht.
- Wodak, R. (2000). From Conflict to Consensus? The co-construction of a policy paper. In P. Muntigl, G. Weiss, & R. Wodak (Eds.), European Union discourses on un/employment: An interdisciplinary approach to employment policy-making and organizational change (pp. 73-114). Amsterdam, the Netherlands: John Benjamins.



- Wodak, R. (2009). *The discourse of politics in action: Politics as usual*. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Wodak, R. (2015). The politics of fear: What right-wing populist discourses mean. London, UK: Sage.
- Wodak, R., & Fairclough, N. (2010). Recontextualizing European higher education policies: The cases of Austria and Romania. *Critical Discourse Studies*, 7(1), 19-40.
- Wodak, R., & Krzyżanowski, M. (Eds.). (2008). *Qualitative discourse analysis in the social Sciences*. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Wodak, R., & Meyer, M. (Eds.). (2001). *Methods of critical discourse studies* (3rd ed.). Los Angeles, CA: Sage.
- Wodak, R., & Meyer, M. (2001). Critical discourse studies: History, agenda, theory and methodology. In
  R. Wodak & M. Meyer (Eds.), *Methods of Critical Discourse Studies* (3rd ed.) (pp. 1-22). Los
  Angeles, CA: Sage.
- Wodak, R., & Savski, K. (2017). Critical discourse-ethnographic approaches to language policy. In J. W.
  Tollefson & M. Pérez-Milans (Eds.), *Handbook of Language Policy and Planning*. Oxford: OUP.
  Manuscript submitted for publication. Retrieved 17 July 2017, from
  https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Ruth\_Wodak/publications?sorting=newest
- Wolcott, H. F. (1994). *Transforming qualitative data: Description, analysis, and interpretation*. London, UK: Sage.
- Wolcott, H. F. (2008). *Ethnography: A way of seeing* (2nd ed.). Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press.
- Wragg, E. C. (1999). Introduction to classroom observation. London, UK: Routledge.
- Wright, S. (2006). French as a lingua franca. Annual Review of Applied Linguistics, 26, 35-60.
- Wright, S. (2009). The elephant in the room: Language issues in the European Union. *European Journal* of Language Policy, 1(2), 93-119.
- Yin, R. K. (2003). Case study research: Design and methods (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.