



Title of Project:

An Investigation of the Language Assessment Literacy of Teacher Educators in Chile: Knowledge, Practices, Learning, Beliefs, and Context

Researcher:

Salomé Villa Larenas
Lancaster University
salovillal@gmail.com



Salomé Villa Larenas

Research Supervisor:

Prof. Tineke Brunfaut
Lancaster University

Final Report

Motivation for the research

Language assessment literacy (LAL) is currently generally understood as the knowledge, skills, and principles of language assessment that stakeholders need for their practices (Davies, 2008; Fulcher, 2012). LAL research has primarily focused on pre- and in-service language teachers, especially on ways to understand their needs and to develop their LAL (e.g., Hasselgreen, Carlsen, & Helness, 2004). LAL studies indicate that language teachers around the world feel underprepared for their language assessment professional tasks (e.g., Vogt & Tsagari, 2014), and that little language assessment training is offered to pre-service teachers during their teacher education (e.g., López Mendoza & Bernal Arandia, 2009). However, little research attention has been given to the LAL of those who prepare preservice teachers for their future profession (i.e., teacher educators). In addition, the dominant methodology in LAL research has been the survey approach, eliciting perceived needs and self-reported knowledge (e.g., Vogt & Tsagari, 2014). While self-reported knowledge questionnaires and interviews as means of measuring knowledge provide the researcher with insights into what stakeholders' knowledge might be, the question is whether the understandings gained about LAL from these methodologies are objective or whether they might strongly depend on stakeholders' self-perception.

In response, this study aimed to investigate teacher educators' LAL in terms of their theoretical knowledge, as well as their language assessment practices, and the extent and nature of the LAL training they conduct with pre-service teachers. The study also aimed to gain information about teacher educators' LAL via more direct methods, which did not heavily rely on their self-perceptions of their language assessment knowledge and practices.

Research questions

Overarching Research question:

How can we characterize the language assessment literacy of teacher educators in EFL teacher education programs in Chile?

Specific Research Questions (RQs):

RQ1. What is the teacher educators' language assessment knowledge?



- RQ2. What are the teacher educators' language assessment practices?
RQ3. What shapes teacher educators' language assessment literacy?
3a. What are their macro-sociocultural and micro-institutional working contexts?
3b. What are their conceptions of language assessment?
3c. What is their decision-making regarding their language assessment practices?
3d. How do they learn about language assessment?
3e. What is their perception of their own identity as language assessors?
RQ4. How do the teacher educators develop the language assessment literacy of the next generation of EFL teachers they are training?

Research Methodology

Inspired by the *Teacher Assessment Literacy in Practice* (TALiP) model by Xu and Brown (2016), this mixed-method study consisted of an online test to understand teacher educators' language assessment knowledge, and of interviews and an analysis of their (language) assessment materials to investigate their practices. The study was conducted with teacher educators working in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) teacher education programs in Chile.

Participants: Ninety-four Chilean teacher educators completed the online test, and 20 shared and discussed their assessment materials (113 documents) during interviews. Specifically, the study focused on three types of teacher educators: (a) language teacher educators, who teach English language acquisition courses (i.e., EFL courses) to develop student-teachers' English proficiency; (b) testing teacher educators who give language assessment training to future teachers; and (c) program directors whose primary responsibility is to manage and lead the EFL teacher education program and their educational teams.

Data collection: Data were collected from the online test and interviews were conducted in parallel. The online knowledge test was distributed through an anonymous link sent via email to several teacher educators data bases and shared through social media groups. The interviews were held face-to-face or using Skype. The assessment materials were collected during the interviews.

Analysis: Data analysis of the online knowledge test focused on the performance of the participants, the test itself and its items, and on the constructs that underlie the test. This was done by means of (1) descriptive statistics, (2) test reliability and item analysis, and (3) factor analysis. The interviews were transcribed and analyzed thematically (Riazi, 2016) with the help of the software program Atlas.ti (8.3). To increase consistency of the results, a second coder was engaged. The assessment materials analysis consisted of identifying language assessment tasks developed by the teacher educators, namely tests, quizzes, productive tasks, and projects, and describing their characteristics: type of assessment, targeted construct, and types of item. Also, information regarding teacher educators' practices was sought to complement the information shared about these practices in the interviews.

Summary of Findings

This study found that teacher educators had not received language assessment training in their academic lives. However, they had practice-derived language assessment knowledge, which they have mainly acquired on the job, through collaboration within their communities of practice (Wenger & Wenger-Trayner, 2015), and from the internal language assessment culture and practices of their institutions. However, some limitations were observed in language teacher educators' language assessment practices regarding analysis of language assessment instruments, results, and scoring. The findings also indicated that due to the limitations in their LAL, these teacher educators had low levels of language assessment self-efficacy, and they did not characterize themselves as language assessors. The



LAL levels of testing teacher educators were higher, which seemed to be the result of their opportunities for learning about language assessment theories and research through the teaching of their language testing. Accordingly, testing teacher educators showed higher levels of self-efficacy and had clearer perceptions of themselves as language assessors.

The study also found that the levels of teacher educators' LAL were influenced by their professional context, namely by macro-sociocultural (i.e., national policies, the Chilean school reality) and micro-institutional (i.e., academic freedom, institutional assessment culture) variables. These contextual variables seemed to guide and sometimes limit teacher educators' language assessment practices and clashed with their own conceptions of language assessment, which resulted from their previous experiences with assessment as students themselves. Therefore, the teacher educators' language assessment practices involved a series of compromises to balance the boundaries set by the context, their beliefs about language assessment, and their lack of knowledge about language assessment.

The findings of this study also indicated that the levels of LAL of the teacher educators influenced the extent to which they developed their student-teachers' LAL. Those with higher levels of LAL (i.e., testing teacher educators) paid attention to modeling their language assessment practices and encouraging reflection on these practices in their student-teachers. Conversely, those with limited levels of LAL, i.e., *language* teacher educators, greatly neglected the language assessment modeling and encouragement of reflection in their teaching.

Finally, this study found that LAL is composed of five components, namely context, language assessment knowledge, conceptions of language assessment, and language assessment practices; and two concepts which have been identified as "by-products" of LAL: language assessment self-efficacy and language assessor identity. The study also found the relationships between the LAL components in a more complex construct of LAL in practice:

- The context component intertwined with all the other components of LAL by: (1) fostering or hindering the construction of knowledge, (2) shaping teacher educators' conceptions of language assessment, and (3) influencing their language decision-making and practices.
- The language assessment knowledge component shaped the beliefs and emotions about language assessment held by stakeholders.
- The practices component resulted from language assessment decision-making, balancing language assessment knowledge, conceptions of language assessment, and the influences of contextual variables. Also, practices influenced the context where stakeholders work.
- There was a relationship between LAL and language assessment self-efficacy and language assessor identity.

Implications for Language Education

This study has implications for pre- and in-service language education. First, regarding pre-service education, it is recommended that language testing and assessment as a subject and research field occupy a larger share of EFL language teacher education curricula. It is advised that EFL teacher education programs include in their curricula at least one course exclusively dedicated to the teaching of language assessment. Such a course should include theory, but also practical and hands-on work of developing and analyzing language assessment tasks. Moreover, the course should be connected to the local language classroom reality, so that it is meaningful for the language assessment practices of the future language teachers. It is also recommended that the language testing courses offered in EFL language teacher education are connected with other courses within the curriculum, namely educational assessment, language acquisition, teaching methodology, and practicum, in terms of the



alignment of theories and contents offered and hands-on opportunities to put into practice what it is learned in relation to language assessment.

As for in-service education, if LAL is to be enhanced in teacher education, it will be important to offer LAL training as professional development for the teacher educators currently working in the EFL teacher education context. In this regard, findings of this study suggest that LAL professional development is localized, since this study has stressed the importance of the context in LAL. Localization requires that LAL professional development programs are duly informed about the sociocultural and political boundaries that teacher educators have in their working contexts. In addition, it is recommended that LAL professional development initiatives for teacher educators consider the formation of teacher educator communities of practice as part of the design of the training offered. This could be done through the inclusion of collaborative assessment development projects (Tzagari & Vogt, 2017), which give space for the co-construction of materials and dialogic reflection (Mann & Walsh, 2017) among the trainees.



References

- Abrahams, M. J. (2018). Estándares para la Formación de Profesores de Inglés en Chile y su relación con la UAH. *Cuadernos de Educación - Universidad Alberto Hurtado*. Cuaderno de Educación N° 80, 2018, Santiago, Chile. Retrieved from: http://mailing.uahurtado.cl/cuadernos_educacion_80/documentos/actualidad1_80.pdf
- American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, National Council on Measurement in Education, & Joint Committee on Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing (U.S.). (2014). *Standards for educational and psychological testing*. Washington: American Educational Research Association.
- American Federation of teachers, National Council on Measurement in Education, & National Education Association. (1990) *Standards for teacher competence in educational assessment of students*. Retrieved from: <https://buos.org/standards-teacher-competence-educational-assessment-students>
- Agencia de la Calidad de la Educación. (2016). *Estudio sobre formación inicial docente en evaluación educacional*. Santiago, Chile.
- Alderson, J. C., Clapham, C., & Wall, D. (1995). *Language test construction and evaluation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Alkharusi, H. (2011). Psychometric properties of the teacher assessment literacy questionnaire for preservice teachers in Oman. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 29(0), 1614–1624.
- Alkharusi, H., Kazem, A., & Al-Musawai, A. (2011). Knowledge, skills, and attitudes of preservice and inservice teachers in educational measurement. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education*, 39(2), 113–123.
- Bachman, L. F. (2004). *Statistical analyses for language assessment*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bailey, K. M., & Brown, J. D. (1996). Language testing courses: What are they? In A. Cumming & R. Berwick (Eds.), *Validation in language testing* (pp. 236–256). Philadelphia, PA: Multilingual Matters.
- Baker, B. (2016). Language assessment literacy as professional competence: The case of Canadian admissions decision makers. *Canadian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 19(1), 63–83. Retrieved from: <https://journals.lib.unb.ca/index.php/CJAL/article/view/23033>
- Baker, B. A., & Riches, C. (2018). The development of EFL examinations in Haiti: Collaboration and language assessment literacy development. *Language Testing*, 35(4), 557–581. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532217716732>
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review*, 84(2), 191–215. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.84.2.191>
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall.
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. New York: WH Freeman.
- Barahona, M. (2016). *English language teacher education in Chile*. Routledge.



- Beauchamp, C., & Thomas, L. (2009). Understanding teacher identity: An overview of issues in the literature and implications for teacher education. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 39(2), 175–189. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057640902902252>
- Beltman, S., Glass, C., Dinham, J., Chalk, B., & Nguyen, B. (2015). Drawing identity: Beginning pre-service teachers' professional identities. *Issues in Educational Research*, 25(3), 225–245.
- Berry, A. (2016). Teacher educators' professional learning: A necessary case of 'on your own'? In B. de Wever, R. Vanderlinde, M. Tuytens & A. Aelterman (Eds.), *Professional learning in education challenges for teacher educators, teachers and student teachers* (pp. 39–56). Gent, Belgium: Academia Press.
- Berry, V., O'Sullivan, B., Schmitt, D., & Taylor, L. (2014). *Assessment literacy: Bridging the gap between needs and resources*. Symposium presented at the 48th Annual International IATEFL Conference and Exhibition, Harrogate, UK, 2–5 April.
- Berry, V., Sheehan, S., & Munro, S. (2019). What does language assessment literacy mean to teachers? *ELT Journal*, 73(2), 113–123. <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccy055>
- Black, P. J., & Wiliam, D. (1998). *Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment*. London: Kings College London School of Education.
- Brindley, G. (2001). Language assessment and professional development. In C. Elder, A. Brown, K. Hill, N. Iwashita, T. Lumley, T. McNamara & K. O'Loughlin (Eds.), *Experimenting with uncertainty: Essays in honour of Alan Davies* (pp. 126–36). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Brown, G. T. L. (2006). Teachers' conceptions of assessment: Validation of an abridged instrument. *Psychological Reports*, 99, 166–170. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2466/pr0.99.1.166-170>
- Brown, G. T. L. (2008). *Conceptions of assessment: Understanding what assessment means to teachers and students*. New York, NY: Nova Science Publishers.
- Brown, G. T. L., & Remesal, A. (2012). Prospective teachers' conceptions of assessment: A cross-cultural comparison. *The Spanish Journal of Psychology*, 15(01), 75–89. https://doi.org/10.5209/rev_SJOP.2012.v15.n1.37286
- Brown, G. T. L., & Remesal, A. (2017). Teachers' conceptions of assessment: Comparing two inventories with Ecuadorian teachers. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 55(June), 68–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2017.07.003>
- Brown, G. T. L., Lake, R., & Matters, G. (2011). Queensland teachers' conceptions of assessment: The impact of policy priorities on teacher attitudes. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 27(1), 210–220. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2010.08.003>
- Brown, H. D., & Abeywickrama, P. (2010). *Language assessment: Principles and classroom practices*. NY: Pearson Education.
- Brown, J. D., & Bailey, K. M. (2008). Language testing courses: What are they in 2007? *Language Testing* (Vol. 25). <http://doi.org/10.1177/0265532208090157>
- Brunfaut, T., & Harding, L. (2018). Teachers setting the assessment (literacy) agenda: A case study of a teacher-led national test development project in Luxembourg. In D. Xerri & P. Vella Briffa (Eds.), Teacher involvement in high stakes language testing (pp. 155–172). Cham, Switzerland: Springer.



- Bryman, A. (2006). Integrating quantitative and qualitative research: How is it done? *Qualitative Research*, 6(1), 97–113.
- Burns, A., & Richards, J. (Eds.). (2009). Introduction: Second language teacher education, In A. Burns, & J. Richards (Eds.), *Cambridge guide to second language teacher education* (pp. 1–8). The Cambridge University Press.
- Catell, R. B. (1966). The scree test for number of factors. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 1(2), 245–276. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr0102_10
- Ciampa, K., & Gallagher, T. (2016). Teacher collaborative inquiry in the context of literacy education: Examining the effects on teacher self-efficacy, instructional and assessment practices, *Teachers and Teaching*, 22(7), 858–878. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2016.1185821>
- CNA, Comité Nacional de Acreditación, (2018). *Misión y visión*. Retrieved from: <https://www.cnachile.cl/Paginas/misionvision.aspx>
- CNA, Comité Nacional de Acreditación, (2019). *Criterios de evaluación de carreras de educación*. Retrieved from: <https://www.cnachile.cl/Criterios%20de%20carreras/CriteriosEducacionFINAL1.pdf>
- Coombs, A., De Luca, C., La Pointe-McEwan, D., & Chalas, A. (2018). Changing approaches to classroom assessment: An empirical study across teacher career stages. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 71, 134–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.12.010>
- Council of Europe. (2001). *Common European framework of reference for languages: Learning, teaching, assessment*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Creswell, J. (2012). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (4th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Crossman, J. (2007). The role of relationships and emotions in student perceptions of learning and assessment. *Higher Education Research and Development*, 26(3), 313–327.
- Davies, A. (2008). Textbook trends in teaching language testing. *Language Testing*, 25(3), 327–347. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0265532208090156>
- Davis, D., & Neitzel, C. (2011). A self-regulated learning perspective on middle grades classroom assessment. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 104(3), 202–215. <https://doi.org.ezproxy.lancs.ac.uk/10.1080/00220671003690148>
- De Luca, C., La Pointe-McEwan, D. & Ulemu, L. (2016). Approaches to classroom assessment inventory a new instrument to support teacher assessment literacy. *American Educational Research Association Annual Conference*, 21(4), 248–266. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10627197.2016.1236677>
- Deluca, C., Willis, J., Cowie, B., Harrison, C., Coombs, A., Gibson, A., & Child, J. (2019). Policies, programs, and practices: exploring the complex dynamics of assessment education in teacher education across four countries. *Frontiers in Education*, 4(November), p. 132. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2019.00132>
- Dörnyei, Z. (2007). *Research methods in applied linguistics: Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methodologies*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Eraut, M. (2004). Transfer of knowledge between education and workplace settings. In H. Rainbird, A. Fuller, & H. Munro (Eds.), *Workplace learning in context* (pp. 201–221). London, UK: Routledge.



- Field, A. (2009). *Discovering statistics using SPSS*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Freeman, D. (2009). The scope of second language teacher education. In A. Burns, & J. Richards (Eds.), *Cambridge guide to second language teacher education* (pp. 11–19). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Freeman, D., & Johnson, K. E. (1998). Reconceptualising the knowledge base of language teacher education. *TESOL Quarterly*, 32(3), 397–417.
- Friese, S. (2019). *ATLAS.ti 8 Windows - Inter-Coder Agreement Analysis*. Retrieved from: http://downloads.atlasti.com/docs/ICA_a8_win_en.pdf
- Fulcher, G. (2010). *Practical language testing*. London: Hodder Education.
- Fulcher, G. (2012). Assessment literacy for the language classroom. *Language Assessment Quarterly*, 9(2), 113–132. <http://doi.org/10.1080/15434303.2011.642041>
- Fulcher, G., & Davidson, F. (2007). *Language testing and assessment: An advanced resource book*. London, England: Routledge.
- Graham, P. (2005). Classroom-based assessment: Changing knowledge and practice through preservice teacher education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 21(6), 607–621. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2005.05.001>
- Green A. (2014). *Exploring language assessment and testing: Language in action*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Green, A. (2012). *Promoting sustainable excellence in testing and assessment of English*. Paper presented at the EALTA Classroom-based Language Assessment Special Interest Group Meeting, Innsbruck, Austria. 31 May.
- Green, R. (2013). *Statistical analyses for language testers. UK: Palgrave Macmillan*.
- Guan, M., & So, J. (2016). Influence of social identity on self-efficacy beliefs through perceived social support: A social identity theory perspective. *Communication Studies*, 67(5), 588–604. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10510974.2016.1239645>
- Guerin, E. M. C. (2009). Initial findings from a pilot Italian study of foreign language teachers' stated language assessment knowledge-base and needs. *Papers from the Lancaster Postgraduate Conference in Linguistics & Language Teaching*, Vol. 4, 80–103.
- Hadar, L., & Brody D. (2016). Professional development for teacher educators in the communal context: factors which promote and hinder learning. In B. de Wever, R. Vanderlinde, M. Tuytens, & A. Aelterman (Eds.), *Professional learning in education challenges for teacher educators, teachers and student teachers* (pp. 57–83). Gent, Belgium: Academia Press.
- Hailaya, W., Alagumalai, S., & Ben, F. (2014). Examining the utility of Assessment Literacy Inventory and its portability to education systems in the Asia Pacific region. *Australian Journal of Education*, 58(3), 297–317. <https://doi.org/Doi 10.1177/0004944114542984>
- Hamayan, E. (1995). Approaches to alternative assessment. In W. Grabe *et al.* (Eds.), *Annual review of applied linguistics* (pp. 212–226). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Harding, L., & Kremmel, B. (2016). Teacher assessment literacy and professional development. In D. Tsagari & J. Banerjee (Eds.), *Handbook of second language assessment* (pp. 413–428). Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter.



- Harsch, C., & De la Caridad, I. (2018). *Managing change: Developing an English proficiency certification system for graduation in Cuban higher education*. Poster presented at LTRC Language Testing Research Colloquium 2018. University of Auckland, New Zealand.
- Hartell, E., Gumaelius, L., & Svärth, J. (2015). Investigating technology teachers' self-efficacy on assessment. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 25(3), 321–337. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-014-9285-9>
- Hasselgreen, A., Carlsen, C., & Helness, H. (2004). *European survey of language testing and assessment needs: General findings* (Needs Assessment No. 1). Bergen: University of Bergen.
- Herrera, L., & Macías, D. (2015). A call for language assessment literacy in the education and development of English language teachers. *Colombian Applied Linguistics Journal*, 17(2), 302–312. <http://dx.doi.org/10.14483/udistrital.jour.calj.2015.2.a09>
- Hill, K. (2017). Understanding classroom-based assessment practices: a precondition for teacher assessment literacy. *Papers in Language Testing and Assessment*, 6(1), 1–17.
- Hill, K., & McNamara, T. (2012). Developing a comprehensive, empirically based research framework for classroom-based assessment. *Language Testing*, 29(3), 395–420. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532211428317>
- Howley, M. D., Howley, A., Henning, J. E., Gilla, M. B., & Weade, G. (2013). Intersecting domains of assessment knowledge: School typologies based on interviews with secondary teachers. *Educational Assessment*, 18(1), 26–48. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10627197.2013.761527>
- Huhta, A., Tuija, H., & Jayanti, B. (2005). *European survey of language testing and assessment needs. Part 2: Regional findings*. Retrieved from: <http://www.ealta.eu.org/resources.htm>
- ILTA International Language Testing Association (2000) *ILTA code of ethics*. Retrieved from: <https://www.iltaonline.com/page/CodeofEthics>
- ILTA International Language Testing Association (2010) *ILTA guidelines for practice*. Retrieved from: https://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.iltaonline.com/resource/resmgr/docs/ilta_guidelines.pdf
- Inbar-Lourie, O. (2012). Language assessment literacy. *The encyclopedia of applied linguistics*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781405198431.wbeal0605>
- Inbar-Lourie, O. (2013). Guest editorial to the special issue on language assessment literacy. *Language Testing*, 30(3), 301–307. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532213480126>
- Inbar-Lourie, O. (2008). Constructing a language assessment knowledge base: A focus on language assessment courses. *Language Testing*, 25(3), 385–402. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0265532208090158>
- Inbar-Lourie O. (2017). Language assessment literacy. In E. Shohamy, I. Or & S. May (Eds.), *Language testing and assessment*. Encyclopedia of Language and Education (3rd ed.). (pp. 257–270). Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
- James, M., & Pedder, D. (2006). Beyond method: Assessment and learning practices and values. *The Curriculum Journal*, 17(2), 109–138. <https://doi.org.ezproxy.lancs.ac.uk/10.1080/09585170600792712>
- Jeong, H. (2013). Defining assessment literacy: Is it different for language testers and non-language testers? *Language Testing*, 30(3), 345–362. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0265532213480334>



- Jin, Y. (2010). The place of language testing and assessment in the professional preparation of foreign language teachers in China. *Language Testing*, 27(4), 555–584. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532209351431>
- Johnson, K. E. (2009a). *Second language teacher education: A sociocultural perspective*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Johnson, K. E. (2009b). Trends in second language teacher education. In A. Burns & J. Richards (Eds.), *Cambridge guide to second language teacher education* (pp. 20–29). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Johnson, K. E., & Golombek, P. R. (Eds.). (2011). *Research on second language teacher education: A sociocultural perspective on professional development*. London, UK & New York, NY: Routledge.
- Kaiser, H. F. (1974). An index of factorial simplicity. *Psychometrika*, 39, 31–36.
- Kline, R. B. (2004). *Beyond significance testing: Reforming data analysis methods in behavioral research*. NE, WA, D.C.: American Psychological Association.
- Klinger, D. A., McDivitt, P. R., Howard, B. B., Munoz, M. A., Rogers, W. T. & Wylie, E. C. (2015). *The classroom assessment standards for PreK-12 teachers*. Kindle Direct Press.
- Koh, K. H. (2011). Improving teachers' assessment literacy through professional development. *Teaching Education*, 22(3), 255–276. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10476210.2011.593164>
- Kremmel, B., & Harding, L. (2019). Towards a comprehensive, empirical model of language assessment literacy across stakeholder groups: Developing the language assessment literacy survey. *Language Assessment Quarterly*, 17(1), 100–120. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15434303.2019.1674855>
- Kremmel, B., Eberharter, K., & Harding L. (2017). *Putting the 'language' into language assessment literacy*. Paper presented at Language Testing Research Colloquium 2017, Bogotá, Colombia. Abstract retrieved from: https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iltaonline.com/resource/resmgr/docs/LTRC_2017/LTRC_final_vdigi tal.pdf
- Lam, R. (2015). Language assessment training in Hong Kong: Implications for language assessment literacy. *Language Testing*, 32(2), 169–197. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0265532214554321>
- Levi, T., & Inbar-Lourie, O. (2019). Assessment literacy or language assessment literacy: Learning from the teachers. *Language Assessment Quarterly*, 17(2), 168–182. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15434303.2019.1692347>
- Levy-Vered, A., & Alhija, F. N.-A. (2015). Modelling beginning teachers' assessment literacy: The contribution of training, self-efficacy, and conceptions of assessment. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 21(5–6), 378–406. <http://doi.org/10.1080/13803611.2015.1117980>
- Looney, A., Cumming, J., van Der Kleij, F., & Harris, K. (2018). Reconceptualising the role of teachers as assessors: Teacher assessment identity. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy and Practice*, 25(5), 442–467. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594X.2016.1268090>
- López Mendoza, A., & Bernal Arandia, R. (2009). Language testing in Colombia: A call for more teacher education and teacher training in language Assessment. *Profile*, 11(2), 55–70.
- Lortie, D. (1975). *Schoolteacher: A sociological study*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago.



- Mackey, A., & Gass, S. M. (2016). *Second language research: Methodology and design* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Taylor and Francis.
- Maddox, B. (2018). Ethnography and assessment at the bottom of the pyramid. In D. A. Wagner, S. Wolf & R. F. Boruch (Eds.), *Learning at the bottom of the pyramid: Science, measurement, and policy in low-income countries* (pp. 141–158). International Institute for Educational Planning.
- Malderez, A. (2009). Mentoring. In A. Burns & J. Richards (Eds.), *Cambridge guide to second language teacher education* (pp. 259–268). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Malone, M. E. (2008). Training in language assessment. In E. Shohamy & N. Hornberger (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of language and education: Language testing and assessment* (2nd ed.), Volume 7 (pp. 225–233). New York, NY: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-30424-3_178.
- Malone, M. E. (2013). The essentials of assessment literacy: Contrasts between testers and users. *Language Testing*, 30(3), 329–344. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532213480129>
- Mann, S., & Walsh, S. (2017). *Reflective practice in English language teaching: Research-based principles and practices*. Oxfordshire, England: Taylor & Francis.
- McGee, J., & Colby, S. (2014). Impact of an assessment course on teacher candidates' assessment literacy. *Action in Teacher Education*, 36(5–6), 522–532. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01626620.2014.977753>
- McInerney, P. (2012). Rediscovering discourses of social justice: Making hope practical. In B. Down & J. Smyth (Eds.), *Critical voices in teacher education teaching for social justice in conservative times* (pp. 27–43). Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer.
- McNamara, T., & Roever, C. (2006). *Language testing: The social dimension*. Oxford, UK: Blackwell.
- McNamara, T. (2000). *Language testing*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Mertler, C. A., & Campbell, C. (2005). Measuring teachers' knowledge and application of classroom assessment concepts: Development of the Assessment Literacy Inventory. *Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association*, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.
- Miller, A. L. (2012). Investigating social desirability bias in student self-report surveys. *Educational Research Quarterly*, 36(1), 30–47.
- MINEDUC, Ministerio de Educación-República de Chile (2014). *Estándares orientadores para carreras de Pedagogía en Inglés* (1st ed.). *Guiding standards for the EFL teacher education programmes*. Santiago de Chile. Retrieved from: <http://portales.mineduc.cl/usuarios/cpeip/File/nuevos%20estandares/ingles.pdf>
- MINEDUC, Ministerio de Educación-República de Chile (2015). *Bases curriculares 7º básico a 2º medio*. Retrieved from: <https://media.mineduc.cl/wp-content/uploads/sites/28/2017/07/Bases-Curriculares-7%C2%BA-b%C3%A1sico-a-2%C2%BA-medio.pdf>
- Moeller, A. J. (2016). The confluence of language assessment and mixed methods. In A. J. Moeller, J. W. Creswell, & N. Saville (Eds.), *Second language assessment and mixed methods research* (pp. 3–16). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Muñoz, A. P., & Palacio, M. (2012). Teachers' beliefs about assessment in an EFL context in Colombia. *Profile Issues in Teachers Professional Development*, 14(1), 143–158.
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric theory*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.



- O'Loughlin, K. (2013). Developing the assessment literacy of university proficiency test users. *Language Testing*, 30(3), 363–380. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0265532213480336>
- Ogan-Bekiroglu, F., & Suzuk, E. (2014). Pre-service teachers' assessment literacy and its implementation into practice. *The Curriculum Journal*, 25, 344–371.
- Ölmezer-öztürk, E., & Aydin, B. (2018). Toward measuring language teachers' assessment knowledge: Development and validation of Language Assessment Knowledge Scale (LAKS). *Language Testing in Asia*, 8(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-018-0075-2>
- Pallant, J. (2003). *SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Patton, K., & Parker, M. (2017). Teacher education communities of practice: More than a culture of collaboration. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 67, 351–360. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.06.013>
- Paulhus, D. L. (1984). Two-component models of socially desirable responding. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 46(3), 598–609. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.46.3.598>
- Pill, J., & Harding, L. (2013). Defining the language assessment literacy gap: Evidence from a parliamentary inquiry. *Language Testing*, 23(3), 381–402. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0265532213480337>
- Plake, B. S., & Impara, J. C. (1992). *Teacher competencies questionnaire description*. Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska.
- Plake, B., Impara, J., & Fager, J. (1993). Assessment competencies of teachers: A national survey. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 12(4), 10–39. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3992.1993.tb00548.x>
- Popham, W. J. (2006). Needed: A dose of assessment literacy. *Educational Leadership*, 63(6), 84–85.
- Popham, W. J. (2009). Assessment literacy for teachers: Faddish or fundamental? *Theory into Practice*, 48(1), 4–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405840802577536>
- Quilter, S. M., & Gallini, J. K. (2000). Teachers' assessment literacy and attitudes. *Teacher Educator*, 36(2), 115–131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08878730009555257>
- Randall, M., & Thornton, B. (2001). *Advising and supporting teachers*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Reimann, N., & Wilson, A. (2012). Academic development in “assessment for learning”: The value of a concept and communities of assessment practice. *International Journal for Academic Development*, 17(1), 71–83. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1360144X.2011.586460>
- Remesal, A. (2011). Primary and secondary teachers' conceptions of assessment: A qualitative study. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 27(2), 472–482. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2010.09.017>
- Riazi, A. (2016). *The Routledge encyclopedia of research methods in applied linguistics: Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed-methods research*. London, UK & New York, NY: Routledge.
- Röehler, L. R., & Cantlon, D. J. (1997). Scaffolding: A powerful tool in social constructivist classrooms. In K. Hogan & M. Pressley (Eds.), *Advances in learning & teaching. Scaffolding student learning: Instructional approaches and issues* (pp. 6–42). Cambridge, MA: Brookline Books.



- Sachs, J. (2005). Teacher education and the development of professional identity: Learning to be a teacher. In P. Denicolo & M. Kompf (Eds.), *Connecting Policy and Practice: Challenges for Teaching and Learning in Schools and Universities* (pp. 5–21). London, UK: Routledge.
- Saldaña, J. (2013). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers* (2nd ed.). Los Angeles, CA & London, UK: Sage.
- Scarino, A. (2013). Language assessment literacy as self-awareness: Understanding the role of interpretation in assessment and in teacher learning. *Language Testing*, 7(3), 309–328.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532213480128>
- Singh G., & Richards J. (2009). Teaching and learning in the course room. In A. Burns & J. Richards (Eds.), *Cambridge guide to second language teacher education* (pp. 201–208). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Smith, L. F., Hill, M. F., Cowie, B., & Gilmore, A. (2014). Preparing teachers to use the enabling power of assessment. In C. Wyatt-Smith, V. Klenowski & P. Colbert (Eds.), *Designing assessment for quality learning* (pp. 303–23). Dordrecht, Germany: Springer.
- Stabler-Havener., M. L. (2018). Defining, conceptualizing, problematizing, and assessing language teacher assessment literacy. *Working Papers in Applied Linguistics and TESOL*, 18(1), 1–22.
<https://doi.org/10.7916/D8VM5W9P>
- Stiggins, R. (1991). Assessment literacy. *The Phi Delta Kappan*, 72(7), 534–539.
- Stiggins, R. (1993). Teacher training in assessment: Overcoming the neglect. In S. L. Wise (Ed.), *Teacher training in measurement and assessment skills* (pp. 27–40). Lincoln, NE: Buros Institute of Mental Measurements.
- Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The social psychology of intergroup relations* (pp. 33–147). Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Tashakkori, A., & Creswell, J. W. (2007). Editorial: The new era of mixed methods. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 1(1), 3–7. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2345678906293042>
- Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (2003). *Handbook of mixed methods in social & behavioral research*. Thousand Oaks, Calif.; London: Sage Publications.
- Taylor, L. (2009). Developing assessment literacy. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 29, 21–36.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190509090035>
- Taylor, L. (2013). Communicating the theory, practice and principles of language testing to test stakeholders: Some reflections. *Language Testing*, 7(3), 403–413.
<http://doi.org/10.1177/0265532213480338>
- Teddlie, C., & Tashakkori, A. (2003). Major issues and controversies in the use of mixed methods in the social and behavioural sciences. In A. Tashakkori & C. Teddlie (Eds.), *Handbook of mixed methods in social & behavioral research* (pp. 3–50). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Teddlie, C., & Tashakkori, A. (2006). A general typology of research designs featuring mixed methods. *Research in the Schools*, 13, 12–28.



- Thompson, A. G. (1992). Teachers' beliefs and conceptions: A synthesis of the research. In D. A. Grouws (Ed.), *Handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning* (pp. 127–146). New York, NY: MacMillan.
- Tsagari, D. (2018). *TALE: The development of a new assessment training paradigm*. 19th Conference of the Association for Educational Assessment Europe (AEA-Europe). Association for Educational Assessment Europe (AEA-Europe), Arnhem – Nijmegen, the Netherlands. 7-10 November.
- Tsagari, D., & Vogt, K. (2017). Assessment literacy of foreign language teachers around Europe: Research, challenges and future prospects. *Papers in Language Testing and Assessment*, 6(1), 41–63.
- UNESCO United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (1997). *Recommendation concerning the status of higher education teaching personnel*. VI-A-27. Paris. Retrieved from: <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000113234.page=2>
- Van Blerkom., M. L. (2008). *Measurement and statistics for teachers*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Vogt, K., & Tsagari, D. (2014). Assessment literacy of foreign language teachers: Findings of a European study. *Language Assessment Quarterly*, 11(4), 374–402. <http://doi.org/10.1080/15434303.2014.960046>
- Volante, L., & Fazio, X. (2007). Exploring teacher candidates' assessment literacy: Implications for teacher education reform and professional development. *Canadian Journal of Education*, 30(3), 749–770.
- Wallace, M. (1991). *Training foreign language teachers: A reflective approach* (Cambridge teacher training and development). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Walters, F. S. (2010). Cultivating assessment literacy: Standards evaluation through language-test specification reverse engineering. *Language Assessment Quarterly*, 7(4), 317–342. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15434303.2010.516042>
- Wenger, E., & Wenger-Trayner, B. (2015). *Communities of practice. A brief introduction*. Retrieved from: <https://wenger-trayner.com/introduction-to-communities-of-practice/>
- Woodward, T. (1991). *Models and metaphors in language teacher training: Loop input and other strategies*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Xu, Y., & Brown, G. T. L. (2016). Teacher assessment literacy in practice: A reconceptualization. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 58, 149–162. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2016.05.010>
- Xu, Y., & Brown, G. T. L. (2017). University English teacher assessment literacy: A survey-test report from China. *Papers in Language Testing and Assessment*, 6(1), 133–158.
- Zacharias, N. (2012). *Qualitative research methods for second language education: A coursebook*. Newcastle upon Tyne, UK: Cambridge Scholars Pub.
- Zheng, D. (2010). An investigation into the assessment literacy of secondary and primary school teachers: A report from Z province. *Global Education Review*, 39(2), 31–36.